

1992 Philip Morris USA R&D Operational Plans

Confidential

Copy No. 3
Issued To: A. C. Lilly

1992 Major Programs

Program	Coordinators	Director _	
Domestic Product Development and Support		Myracle	
Product Development	Heretick/Altizer		
Product Technology	Heretick/Altizer		
Existing Product Support	R.Cox/Yatrakis & Willis		
Flavor Technology	R Cox/Kroustalis		
Operations Support	Ferguson	Ellis	
International Product Development and Support	Smith/Confer	Heretick	
New Expanded Tobacco	Fischer	Burnley	
Project Tomorrow	Dwyer/Shafer	Whidby	
Paper Technology	Baldwin	Heretick/Sanders	
Filter Technology	K.Newman	Heretick	
Cast Leaf	Gellatly	Burnley	
Project Beta	Losee	Lilly/Whidby	
Tobacco Biochemistry (TSNA LBA)	Carchman	Ellis	
Environmental Support	Hayward	Burnley	
New Primary Process	Clark	Burnley	
Sensory Technology	Carchman	Ellis	
Other Programs	Gauvin	••	

Domestic Product Dev. and Support

2021554893

Product Development

OPERATIONAL PLANS

DOMESTIC PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT

1992

DOMESTIC PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT PROGRAMS

1) Product Development

A. Premium Brands

B&H

Merit

Virginia Slims

Parliament

Marlboro

Discount Brands

Marketing Program Support (Players Navy Cut (?)

No Plans

Consumer Testing

The above program, we feel, should be in Strategic Goal #2.

A. PREMIUM BRANDS

BEH KS LINE EXTENSION

- I. Objective: Design and develop a B&H King Size Menthol and Regular Full Flavor and Lights
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Expand market potential for B&H KS Menthol to 60% of population that prefers KS, specifically to appeal to younger blacks (90% of which smoke menthol).

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes

A.	Initial prototype design	January	1991
В.	Prototype production	January	1991

C.	Prototype	analytical	testing	February	1991
C .	FIOCOCADE	analytical	cescring	restuary	

Strategy II: Investigate menthol blend MB4B vs. B&H Menthol

A.	• • •	2nd Quarter 1991
в.	Tar/menthol interaction POL's	2nd Quarter 1991
c.	Blend decision	3rd Quarter 1991

Strategy III: Test designs and blends

A. Baseline regular/menthol POL's

F. Second Adpack

В.	Adpack campaign	4th Quarter 1991
c.	Specifications drafted	1st Quarter 1992
D.	Extended use trial	1st Quarter 1992
E.	Factory trials	March 1992

3rd Quarter 1991

March 1992

<u>Strategy IV</u>: Redesign and develop a light menthol prototype with a higher tar/puff and menthol/puff to appeal to full flavor menthol smokers (Newport, Kool, and Salem)

A. Initial prototype design

January 1992

B. POL production

February 1992

C. POL analytical testing

February 1992

D. Ship POL

February 1992

Strategy V: Launch

A. Factory start-up

April 1992

B. CPC approval

April 1992

C. National launch

September 1992

Strategy VI: Net inclusion -- driven by NET Program timetable

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin
Morris White
Howard Maxwell
Truman Foster
Bill Geiszler
Greg Patron
Debbie Atkinson
Mable Fleming
Duane Wilder
Mark Guy
Steve Walton
Bill Atkins
Sainta Haywood
Terry Burgess

Technical Advisor
Domestic Product Development
Flavor Technology
Commercial Development
Paper Technology
Filter Technology
POL Administration, DPD
PED
Engineering
Engineering
Production
Production

Operation Services

Operation Services

Operation Services

V. Potential Projects

Kevin Thompson

B&H KS Ultra Lights

• B&H Medium

LS/LO and Value Added

MERIT ULTIMA

- I. Objective: Support Merit Ultima launch
- II. Explanatory Introduction: The full margin products have been developed for National launch on February 17. The products offer more mainstream taste in the ultra low tar deliveries. (lmg KS/2mg 100's)

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Identify and resolve filter production challenges

A. On-site inspection of production processes lst Quarter 1992

B. Recommendations 1st Quarter 1992

III. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin
Barbara Monahan
Charlie Altizer
Janet Spruill
Morris White
Susan Wagner
Jim Pflueger
Don Laslie

Technical Advisor

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Cabarrus, Production

Flavor Development

Filter Development

IV. Potential Projects

- Merit Ultima Menthol
- Low sidestream/low aroma

3mg MERIT

- I. Objective: Design and develop a 3mg product with the subjective attributes of a 6mg cigarette.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: The Merit Ultra Light product needs revitalization with an added benefit. The benefit identified is equal taste at 3mgs tar to the existing 5mg tar Ultra Lights.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Design and develop initial prototypes using conventional materials

A.	Prototype modelling	1st	Quarter	1992
В.	Prototype production	2nd	Quarter	1992
c.	Prototype analytical testing	2nd	Quarter	1992
D.	Internal subjective testing	2nd	Quarter	1992

Strategy II: Design and develop prototypes using new and/or novel components

A.	Blend Development	2nd	Quarter	1992
В.	Filter Development	2nd	Quarter	1992
c.	Paper Development	2nd	Quarter	1992
D.	Prototype production	3rd	Quarter	1992

Strategy III: Evaluate prototypes

A. Baseline POL production

	- access to a production			
в.	Internal testing	3rd	Quarter	1992
c.	Monadic POL testing		Quarter	V (
D.	Pair comparison testing	4th	Quarter	1992
				Š

3rd Quarter 1992

Strategy IV: Net inclusion -- driven by NET Program timetable.

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin Charlie Altizer Morris White Jim Pflueger Bill Geiszler Don Laslie Billy Riggan Technical Advisor
Domestic Product Development
Domestic Product Development
Flavor Technology
Paper Technology
Filter Technology
Leaf

V. Potential Projects

- 3mg Merit Menthol
- Low sidestream/low aroma and value added

6mg MERIT

- I. Objective: Design and develop a 6mg product with the subjective attributes of a 8mg cigarette.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: The 8mg flavor low Merit needs revitalization with an added benefit. The benefit identified is equal taste at 8mg as at 6mg.

III. Strategies:

<u>Strategy I</u>: Design and develop initial prototypes using conventional materials

A.	Prototype modelling	1st	Quarter	1992
В.	Prototype production	2nd	Quarter	1992
c.	Prototype analytical testing	2nd	Quarter	1992
D.	Internal subjective testing	2nd	Quarter	1992

<u>Strategy II</u>: Design and develop prototypes using new and/or novel components

A.	Blend investigations	2nd	Quarter	1992
в.	Filter investigations	2nd	Quarter	1992
c.	Paper investigations	2nd	Quarter	1992
n	Prototype production	3rd	Quarter	1992

Strategy III: Evaluate prototypes

A. Baseline POL production

в.	Internal testing	3rd	Quarter	1992
_	Wassalla BOT Kashina	442	A	1000

C. Monadic POL testing 4th Quarter 1992

D. Pair comparison testing 4th Quarter 1992

2021554902

3rd Quarter 1992

Strategy IV: Net inclusion -- driven by NET Program timetable.

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin Charlie Altizer Morris White Jim Pflueger Bill Geiszler Don Laslie Billy Riggan Technical Advisor

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Flavor Technology

Paper Technology

Filter Technology

Leaf

V. Potential Projects

6mg Merit Menthol

Low sidestream/low aroma and value added

VIRGINIA SLIMS KING SIZE

- I. Objective: Design and develop Virginia Slims King Size, Regular and Menthol line extensions
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Virginia Slims 100's smokers have become older. Young smokers are not entering the brand. The King Size products with social benefit have been identified as a possible way to attract young smokers while ot loosing those currently attracted by 100's.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes at 24.0 circumference

A.	Initial	prototype design	February	1992

B. P	Prototype	production	February	1992
------	-----------	------------	----------	------

C. Prototype analytical testing March 1992

D. Evaluate alternate blends/flavors March/April 1992

E. Generic prototypes (generic program) 1st Quarter 1992

Strategy II: Develop paper specifications needed to achieve both a 9mg to 11mg LSS product, driven by taste equal to 11mg tar

A. Design and produce prototypes 1st Quarter 1992

B. Determine commercialization of specified paper 2nd Quarter 1992

Strategy III: Evaluate application methods for GEV to the cigarette paper

A.	Develop	specifications	for	GEV	September 1992
----	---------	----------------	-----	-----	----------------

B. Develop specifications for GEV added to the November 1992 rod seam adhesive

C. Modify unit to apply adhesive uniformly November 1992

D. Investigate coating cigarette paper with GEV September 1992

IV. Resource Allocations:

Linda Wettle Jim Pflueger Barbro Goodman Ray Jones Pete Talley Arlington Finley A. Manwaring P. Callaham

Domestic Product Development Flavor Technology Paper Technology Operations Services Engineering Filter Development PED

VIRGINIA SLIMS SUPERSLIMS

- I. Objective: Design and develop a 9mg Virginia Slims Superslims regular and menthol
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Based on information to date, the VSSS at 6mg tar using low sidestream cigarette paper is rated stronger than Capri at 9-10mg tar. Low sidestream cigarette paper gives a higher strength perception. It is not recommended that the current product be increased in tar since the increased tar would move the VSSS product further away in sensorial perception from Capri.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes

A. Initial prototype production October 1991

B. Prototype production December 1991

C. Prototype analytical testing January 1992

<u>Strategy II</u>: Develop paper specifications needed to achieve 9mg product (LSS)

A. Design and produce prototypes with current 1st Quarter 1992 available papers

B. Specify and commercialize paper 2nd Quarter 1992

<u>Strategy III</u>: Determine filter and ventilation changes necessary to achieve a 9mg product

A. Design and produce prototypes 2nd Quarter 1992

Strategy IV: Consumer testing

A. POL testing June 1992

IV. Resource Allocations:

Linda Wettle
Barbro Goodman
Arlington Finley
Ray Jones
Jim Pflueger
Armine Manwaring

Domestic Product Development
Paper Technology
Filter Technology
Operations Services
Flavor Development
PED

V. Potential Projects:

- Prototypes produced with conventional blends, i.e., Marlboro and B&H Lights -- June 1991
- Prototypes produced with conventional papers and blends -- December 1991
- Cost effective charcoal filter for export

PARLIAMENT LIGHTS MENTHOL

- I. Objective: Develop a 9.0mg tar Parliament Lights Menthol LS FTB, 10's LS FTB, and 100's SP product for Region I test market to compete with Newport Lights.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Expand appeal for Parliament with recessed filters for approximately 28% of smokers in Region I who prefer menthol. Newport Lights is major competitor. Parliament is strong in Region I. Region I is also a strong menthol and box market.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes

A.	Research Newport Lights	July	1991
в.	Produce prototypes in Semiworks	July	1991
c.	Prototype analytical testing	July	1991

Strategy II: Refine prototype design

A.	Redesign prototype to reflect size change from LS to KS	1st	Quarter	1992
в.	Refine menthol levels	1st	Quarter	1992
c.	Factory trial	2nd	Quarter	1992
D.	Trial analytical testing	2nd	Quarter	1992
E.	Specifications	3rd	Quarter	1992

Strategy III: Launch

A.	CPC approval	4th	Quarter	1992
В.	Production start-up	4th	Quarter	1992
c.	Region I Launch	1st	Quarter	1993

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin
Morris White
Tom Gannon
Judy Ryder
Bill Geiszler
Terry Burgess
Jack Horne
Kevin Thompson
Bill Atkins
Bill Wray
Charlie Hansen

Technical Advisor
Domestic Product Development
Flavor Technology
Filter Technology
Paper Technology
Operation Services
Operation Services
Operation Services
Production
Engineering
Engineering

V. Potential Projects

• Parliament FF Menthol

B. MARLBORO

MARLBORO

- I. Objective: Implement cost effective modifications to the current packings. Extend the Marlboro family line with logically timed and positioned products as determined by market strategies.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Elevate the brands income, share, and volume by providing line extensions in tar positions appropriate to meet PM and competitive needs. Product effective issues for full margin brands include initiatives to adjust blend components and incorporate technological improvements for advancing the quality of the family both in manufacturing and in the market place.

MARLBORO MEDIUM 100's

I. Objective: Develop 100mm line extension of MF Medium KS delivering similar sensory response to the KS product. Position product sensorially between Marlboro Lts and Marlboro Gold Full Flavor.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes

A.	12mg tar/9.0	puffs	Complete

- Design/prototypes
- Consumer testing
- Specifications
- B. <13.5 tar/10.0 puffs

•	Design	February	1992
•	POL production	February	1992
•	Specifications	February	1992

C. 14.0 tar/10.0 puffs

•	Design	February 1992
•	Prototypes	February 1992

D. Product Decision February/Marc

Strategy II: Factory trials for national launch

A. 100mm soft pack/box products

March 1992

Strategy III: National launch

A. Production start-up

April 1992

B. Launch

June 1992

Strategy IV: Net Inclusion

A. Net incorporation as dictated by timeframe

TBD

of the NET program

B. National launch

TBD

IV. Resource Allocations:

J. Spruill/M. White

R. Newsome

M. Garrett

B. Joyner

Domestic Product Development

Filter Technology

Flavor Development

PED

MARLBORO/MARLBORO LIGHTS

I. Objective: Design and implement blend modification studies for component reformulation, off-shore removal and incorporation of higher levels of expanded material.

II. Explanatory Introduction:

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: ESB reformulation/off-shore removal

A. Design/prototypes Complete

B. POL testing Complete

<u>Strategy II</u>: Design and develop prototypes incorporating component reformulations including higher expanded levels.

A. Prototype production February 1992

B. Evaluations - analytical/subjective March 1992

C. POL testing 2nd Quarter 1992

D. Refinements 3rd Quarter 1992

E. Implementation As Directed

Strategy III: NET Inclusion

A. Net incorporation as available by timeframe of NET Program

IV. Resource Allocations:

J. Spruill Domestic Product Development

M. Garrett Flavor Development

A. Manwaring PED

R. Keatts Leaf Department

2021554912

MARLBORO EXTRA LIGHTS

I. Objective: Develop line extension which delivers 1.1-1.2 tar/puff at 8.0-9.0mg tar.

II. Explanatory Introduction:

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Design and develop prototypes

A. Design/prototypes Complete

B. Consumer testing Complete

C. Specifications Complete

D. Factory trial Complete

Strategy II: Net inclusion

A. Net incorporation as available by timeframe 3rd Quarter 1992

of the NET program

Strategy III: Status

A. Shelf item Available

IV. Resource Allocations:

W. Claflin Technical Advisor

B. Hendricks Domestic Product Development

M. Garrett Flavor Development

A. Manwaring PED

B. Riggan Leaf Department

R. Jones Operations Services

V. Potential Projects

- MF Extra Lights Menthol KS/100's
- MF Extra Lights 100mm Regular

MARLBORO ULTRA LIGHTS

Objective: Develop 6mg line extension in KS and 100's providing enhanced subjective quality and Marlboro character.

Explanatory Introduction: II.

Strategies: III.

Strategy I: Design, develop, and implement line extension (6mg)

A. Factory trial/specifications February 1989

B. Test market introduction May 1989 Red pack/cork tipping Blue pack/white tipping

C. Added test market introduction October 1989 Red pack/white tipping

D. Specifications Complete

Strategy II: Test market monitoring

1992 A. Ongoing

Strategy III: Net Inclusion

A. Net incorporation as available by timeframe of the NET program

IV. Resource Allocations:

B. Hendricks/J. Spruill Domestic Product Development M. Garrett Flavor Development

R. Keatts Leaf Department E. Weston Operations Services

V. Potential Projects

• Menthol Companions

MARLBORO WIDES

- I. Objective: Develope KS 80/83mm full flavor/lights products at an increased circumference
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Camel Box full flavor/lights wides have been introduced. To capitalize on this opportunity to provide more (27.0mm circumference) to the consumer, steps have been initiated to investage existing blends, construction parameters, and packaging to add benefits in excess of competition and to deliver product in a timely fashion.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Model configurations/produce prototypes in 80/83mm length
 utilizing Marlboro, Bucks, Bristol blends

A. Design Models February 1992

B. NTM designated/ordered February 1992

C. Prototype production February/March 1992

D. Analytical/Subjective February/March 1992

Strategy II: Address issues necessary to accommodate R&D and production concerns

A. Processing 1st Quarter 1992

- CPI
- Blends
- OV's/CV's
- Loose ends
- B. NTM 1st Quarter 1992
 - Paper
 - Filters
- C. Equipment
 - Makers
 - Packers
 - Cartons

2021554915

1st Quarter 1992

Strategy III: Consumer testing definitions

• How/Whys?

1st/2nd Quarter 1992

- Product definition (FF/Lts, etc.)
- Types
- Market I.D.

Strategy IV: Test market/launch to be evaluated

IV. Resource Allocations:

D. Newman

J. Spruill

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Domestic Product Development

Elavor Development

Flavor Development

Filter Development

E. Woolridge

Engineering

B. Goodman Paper Development

V. Potential Projects

• Wides in different lengths and delivery categories

C. DISCOUNT BRANDS

ALPINE

- Objective: To determine what modifications, if any, are necessary to enhance the performance of the product in the market place.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Previous consumer testing, both Alpine king size and 100's has shown no significant subjective differences from Salem among full flavor smokers. The data base indicates that there is no product problem.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Consumer testing

A. POL 04010 Alpine FF, KS, SP February 1992

B. POL 04009 Alpine Lts, KS, SP March 1992

C. POL 0690 Alpine Lts, 100's, SP February 1992

IV. Resource Allocations:

B. Monahan

M. Fleming

Domestic Product Development

PED

BUCKS

- I. Objective: Design and develop line extensions for Bucks.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Bucks was initially represented in the market place with full flavor and lights KS. Fill voids in the product family. These products are being developed to take advantage of Bucks' name, in extending the family, and to further advise the increasing generic market.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes

A. Initial prototype design September 1991

B. Prototype production September 1991

C. Prototype analytical testing October 1991

<u>Strategy II:</u> Implement aftercut modification on all line extensions for Bucks

A. Design and produce the following prototypes with new aftercut:

Bucks 100 Lights	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks 100 Ultra Lights	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks 100 Full Flavor	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks 100 Lights	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks KS Menthol Full Flavor	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks KS Menthol Lights	1st Quarter 1992
Bucks Full Flavor 83mm	1st Quarter 1992

B. POL testing

Bucks	100	Full Flavor	April 1992
Bucks	100	Lights	May 1992

2021554918

Strategy III: Complete specifications and factory trials with packaging.

A. Determine viable launch schedule TBD

B. Packaging approval TBD

C. Schedule factory trial TBD

D. Final specifications and CPD approval TBD

IV. Resource Allocations:

Buddy Peace
Warren Claflin
George Yatrakis
Judy Ryder
Bill Geiszler
Mable Fleming

Cigarette Technology Technical Advisor Flavor Development Filter Development Paper Development PED

Slims 100's

- I. Objective: Design and develop a generic 100mm with 23.0 circumference to compete with Misty.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Defensive measure to address 100mm slims market in the price value format. This also represents an alternate for the Virginia Slims product line.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes from existing generic blends.

A. Initial prototype design November 1991

B. Prototype production November 1991

C. Prototype analytical testing November 1991

Strategy II: Design refinements fabricate new prototypes

A. Design and produce prototypes 1st Quarter 1992

B. Blend decision 1st Quarter 1992

C. Draft specification 2nd Quarter 1992

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin Technical Advisor
Barbara Monahan Domestic Product Development
Truman Foster Commercial Development
Armine Manwaring PED
Sainta Haywood Operations Services

V. Potential Projects:

• Generic 100mm 23.0 circumference menthol

Full Flavor Menthol

- I. Objective: Design and develop a generic KS and 100mm menthol full flavor SP
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Products are being developed and positioned to fill voids in our existing generic line of products.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Develop and design prototypes from existing blend.

A. Initial prototype design October 1991

B. Prototype production November 1991

C. Prototype analytical testing November 1991

D. Specifications December 1991

Strategy II: Launch

A. CPC Approval 1st Quarter 1992

B. Production start-up April 1992

IV. Resource Allocations:

Warren Claflin Barbara Monahan Truman Foster Mable Fleming Technical Advisor Domestic Product Development Commercial Development PED

V. Potential Projects:

• Generic KS and 100mm menthol full flavor box

E. CONSUMER TESTING

POL's

- Objective: Generate a data base of strength and liking scores for PM and competitors' products to identify new product opportunities and product/process improvments.
- Explanatory Introduction: The data base is reviewed on an ongoing basis II. to identify needs for additional data and those data points needing additional verification.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Routine testing of Philip Morris and competitor's product

A.	Compile with PED routine product tests for 1992	Dependent upon POL requested ship dates
В.	Issue official POL request sheet	Ongoing
c.	Review of POL sheets for accuracy and signature approval	Ongoing
D.	Fabrication requests to Semiworks	As required by schedule
E.	Coordination/scheduling of Semiworks job requirements to accomplish specified ship dates	As required by schedule
F.	Submit samples to CI for analytical results	As required by schedule
F.	Review of analytical vs. product product specifications/historical data	As required by schedule
G.	Submit to Richmond Panel for subjective approval to ship	As required by schedule
н.	Communicate accept/reject status to	As required by schedule

As required by schedule

As required by schedule

Semiworks for shipment

review

I. Prepare data for PED/Product Development

Strategy II: Supply product for non-standard POL's

Stratedy II. Supply product for non-standard roll s						
A.	Determine special production requirements with PED	As	required	bу	schedule	
в.	Request product from Semiworks or factory	As	required	рÀ	schedule	
c.	Schedule and coordinate Semiworks job requirements to accomplish requested ship dates	As	required	рÀ	schedule	
D.	Factory packet preparation	As	required	bу	schedule	
E.	Coordinate/monitor test production at specified location	As	required	рÀ	schedule	
F.	Submit samples for analytical evaluation	As	required	by	schedule	
G.	Review analytical data for adherence to product specifications and historical data	As	required	рÀ	schedule	
н.	Submit to Richmond Panel for subjective approval	As	required	bу	schedule	
I.	Communicate accept/reject status for shipment	As	required	by	schedule	
J.	Prepared data for PED/Product Development review meetings	As	required	by	schedule	
Str	ategy III: POL's for New Product Development					
A.	Identification of new product POL's for testing	As	required	by	schedule	
В.	Coordinate ship dates, semiworks request/ production and deadline for results	As	required	bу	schedule	
c.	Provide assistance to Project Coordinator as needed for sample production	As	required	bу	schedule	
D.	Submit samples for analytical		required	_		
E.	Review analytical data for adherence to product specifications and historical data	As	required	by	schedule	
F.	Submission to Richmond Panel for subjective approval	As	required	рã	schedule	

G. Communicate accept/reject status for shipment

As required by schedule

H. Data preparation for PED/Product Development review meetings

As required by schedule

Strategy IV: POL's for Product/Process Improvement Programs

A. Coordination with Flavor Technology for POL's necessary to evaluate product and process improvement changes

As required by schedule

B. Coordinate with PED regarding official POL requests

As required by schedule

C. Coordinate ship dates, Semiworks request/ production and timing

As required by schedule

D. Communicate with Product Development coordinator regarding test requirements As required by schedule

E. Submit samples to CI for analytical results

As required by schedule

F. Review analytical data for adherence to product specifications and historical data As required by schedule

G. Notify Flavor Technology that cigarettes are complete and request their evaluation As required by schedule

H. Submit to Richmond Panel for subjective approval

As required by schedule

I. Communicate accept/reject status of prototypes evaluated by the Richmond Panel

As required by schedule

III. Resource Allocations:

PED Semiworks Operations Services Technical Services CI Laboratory Domestic Product Development Flavor Technology Flavor Smoking Panel Richmond Smoking Panel Precon

Panel Dependent D. Birdsong As assigned As assigned Group D. Atkinson-Ballos Program Dependent Program Dependent

Product Technology

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT TECHNOLOGY

A. Low Tar / High Flavor

B. Project Art

C. Project Ambrosia

D. Consumer Testing To be supplied by PED

E. Consumer Testing To be supplied by PED

F. (New Packaging Concepts) Added value to be supplied by John Hearn

G. Data Base Management B. Maher

Should be Stratetic Goal #3

LOW TAR/HIGH FLAVOR

- I. Objective: Develop new technologies which will allow us, within the next two to four years, to produce "Ultra Low" tar, 2 to 4mg, cigarettes with the sensorial experience of "Lights" or "Full Flavored" cigarettes.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Recent developments in filter and paper technology, innovative use of expanded tobacco and blending, and creative flavor development have led to the successful development of exceptionally good "Ultra Low" delivery cigarettes; "Merit Ultima." These cigarettes will, however, have only limited appeal to "Lights" and "Full Flavor" smokers.

Several interesting things have happened both within and outside the tobacco/cigarette industry. We are seeing competition for our consumers form outside our industry, primarily from the drug industry. The "competing" products are, for example, nicotine chewing gum, nicotine patches and inhalers.

Within our industry, Premier by RJR and Delta, our response, and most notably our Beta, have demonstrated unique ways to compete with "conventional" cigarettes. These cigarette-like articles have also demonstrated the gross inefficiency of our conventional products. Full flavor cigarettes use 750mg of filler to deliver 16mg of tar and Ultra Low tar cigarettes use 500mg of filler to delivery 1-2mg of tar. Beta uses about 40mg of tobacco to provide subjective response.

If we use new technology, learn from Beta and what the competition is doing, we can maximize what we do best, make cigarettes minimizing the tobacco and tar and maximizing the nicotine delivery. We should be able to produce a product that appears to perform similarly to conventional cigarettes but with very little "tar" (2-4mg) and about 1mg of nicotine, and with the sensorial satisfaction of a 12-14mg cigarette.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Determine the parameters that control the temperature of a cigarette with the goal of reducing the temperature to somewhat above the distillation temperature of nicotine but below combustion temperatures and develop technologies to

achieve this goal.

Strategy II: Minimize the amount of selected filler for cigarette

construction.

Strategy III: Determine the type of tobacco material to be designed to

aid in control of burn rate, puff count, and generation of

specific compounds such as water.

Strategy IV: Determine how to develop filters to provide satisfactory

resistance with minimal filtration properties for specific

compounds such as nicotine and water.

Strategy V: Develop cigarette papers to prevent "rod collapse" and

provide positive sidestream and mainstream attributes.

Strategy VI: Determine and develop flavor compounds to be added to the

smoke to enhance the sensorial effect.

Tactics:

Participation required from:

Domestic Product Development and Support

NET

Flavor Technology

Tomorrow

Cast Leaf

Chemical Research

ARD

Paper Technology

Filter Technology

NPP

Process Development

STRATEGIC GOAL 3

ART PROGRAM 1992 OPERATIONAL PLAN February 10, 1992

PROGRAM OBJECTIVE

Develop subjectively acceptable products with a significant reduction in nicotine delivery from filler which, through supercritical CO₂ extraction, has a reduction in nicotine content.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this program is to address consumers' desires with new technology driven products. To be more specific, to add value to our products by addressing the perceived health concerns of our consumers. ART Technology (supercritical CO₂ extraction) offers us a means for lowering the nicotine delivery of our products while maintaining tar delivery. To the best of our knowledge, none of our competitors have developed this technology to the point of commercialization as has Philip Morris. Therefore, if our products are successful, it would be some time before we would face any competition in this area.

The objectives of this program are two fold. Our primary objective is to develop subjectively acceptable low tar products with a nicotine-in-smoke delivery of <0.1 mg/cigt. Our second objective is to develop families of products which deliver 50% of the nicotine of a conventional product at equal tar with comparable subjective response.

The major obstacle we face with this program is overcoming the subjective deficits encountered when the nicotine is removed from the filler. These deficits take the form of low to no impact and a pronounced off-taste. The main thrust of this program is to improve the subjective character.

A. DE-NIC PROGRAM

L. Objective: Develop a family of subjectively acceptable low tar, regular and menthol products from filler which, through supercritical CO₂ extraction, has a residual nicotine level of <0.1%.

II. Strategies:

Strategy 1 - Support the current test market in Phoenix.

1. Provide subjective and analytical support for production of test market allocation.

Responsible Person: Tom Gannon Completion Date: As requested

Strategy 2 - Subjective Development/Incremental Change

Tactics:

1. Flavor Technology work continues to evaluate new flavor systems which offer an improved subjective profile. This work includes the evaluation of existing flavor materials as well as the evaluation of flavor precursors and novel botanical extracts.

Responsible Person: Tom Gannon Completion Date: 4th Quarter, 1992

2. Modification of filler pH through application of basic materials in the casing:

Responsible Person: Tom Gannon Completion Date: 2nd Quarter, 1992

Complete evaluation of Calcium hydroxide casing systems.

Completion Date: 1st Quarter, 1992

Evaluate other materials to alter the pH of filler.

Completion date: 2nd Quarter, 1992

3. Evaluation of construction variables and new/novel filter systems for an improved subjective profile. Produce and evaluate the following prototypes:

10-058-A cigarette paper with 35% expanded at 9 mg tar (the Half-Nic cigt design) -- 1st Quarter, 1992

Dual-CA/PCC filter with lower ventilation than the current De-Nic cigarette construction -- 2nd Quarter, 1992

Dual-CA/Paper filters -- 2nd Quarter, 1992

Evaluate optimized cigarette construction developments from the Half-Nic program -- 3rd Quarter, 1992

Responsible persons:

Construction: Barbara Hendricks

Filters: Greg Patron

4. Evaluate any technology developed in the Half-Nic portion of the ART program that yields an improved subjective profile.

Strategy 3 - Bermuda Hundred Production Facility Support

Provide all necessary support for the production facility as requested.

Strategy 4 - Support of Low Tar/High Flavor Program

Provide all necessary support for the Low Tar/High Flavor program as requested.

Strategy 5 - Evaluate and develop process modifications for the utilization of ART process by-product tobaccos.

Development of process modifications for use of post-ART stems continues. Testing in sheet materials involves substitutions for stem in RCB and RL's to determine acceptable levels. Tests of CA stems in RCB replacing Burley stems are in progress. A recommendation was made to include DLF-3B into all expanded ET products at a rate of 4% before expansion. This was implemented at the MC and Cabarrus plants on August 5, 1991 and is expected to be complete during the 1st quarter of 1992.

Responsible Person: J. Swain Complete Date: 2nd Qtr. 1992

Strategy 6 - Utilize any information or technology developed in the Sensory Technology Program.

Responsible Persons:

Sensory Technology: R. Carchman ART Program: G. N. Yatrakis

B. HALF-NIC PROGRAM

I. Objective: Through the use of PM proprietary technology (ART), develop families of products which deliver 50% of the nicotine of a conventional product at equal tar with comparable subjective response.

II. Strategies:

Strategy 1 - Half-Nic Development

Tactics:

1. Modification of filler pH through application of basic materials in the casing:

Complete evaluation of Calcium hydroxide casing systems.

Completion Date: 1st Quarter, 1992 Initiate POL testing: 1st Quarter, 1992

Evaluate other materials to alter the pH of filler.

Completion date: 2nd Quarter, 1992 POL testing: 2nd Quarter, 1992

Responsible Person: Tom Gannon Completion Date: 2nd Quarter, 1992

2. Evaluation of construction variables and new/novel filter systems for an improved subjective profile. Produce and evaluate the following prototypes:

Dual-CA/PCC filter with lower ventilation than the current Half-Nic cigarette construction at 9 mg Tar -- 2nd Quarter, 1992

Dual-CA/Paper filters -- 2nd Quarter, 1992

Responsible persons:

Construction: Barbara Hendricks

Filters: Greg Patron

3. Produce and evaluate prototypes at various tar deliveries.

~16 mg Tar, ~0.55 mg nicotine, KS and 100 mm, regular and menthol, with maximized Tar per Puff.

Low Tar (~5 mg), ~0.2 mg nicotine, KS and 100 mm, regular and menthol with maximized Tar per Puff.

Responsible Persons:

Construction: Barbara Hendricks Filler/coordination: Tom Gannon Completion Date: 2nd Quarter, 1992

4. Casing/Aftercut Development -- Laboratory development of flavor systems will be ongoing throughout the cycle of development described above. Final flavor systems will be geared toward accentuating strength, tobacco flavor and developing a balanced product.

Responsible Person: Tom Gannon Completion Date: 3rd Quarter, 1992

Strategy 2 - Optimize construction, subjective presentation and initiate POL testing of finished models

Initiate POL testing: 3rd Quarter, 1992

C. RESOURCE ALLOCATION ART PROGRAM

Flavor Technology Division	2.00
Cigarette Technology Division	0.50
Filter Technology Division	0.25
Analytical Research Division	1.00
Cigarette Testing	0.50
Tobacco Processing and Fabrication	2.50
Total	6.75

PROJECT AMBROSIA I

- I. Objective: Develop cigarette prototypes which exhibit a vanilli-like sidestream aroma.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Development initiated to meet competitive challenge of the Horizon brand. Product line will address social acceptability benefit.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Design, develop and POL test prototypes

A.	POL test 23.0/100mm regular and menthol 9 and 11mg tar products	2nd	Quarter	1990
в.	POL test 24.0/100mm	2nd	Quarter	1990
c.	Ad Pack test	3rd	Quarter	1990
D.	POL test 24.8/100mm regular and menthol .15 and 3mg/cigt. GEV targets	2nd	Quarter	1991
E.	24.8/85mm prototypes .	4th	Quarter	1991
F.	Focus group test 24.8/85mm vs. 24.0/100mm	4th	Quarter	1991

Strategy II: Commercialization of GEV and application method to product

A. Develop specifications for GEV September 1992

B. Develop specifications for GEV added to the November 1992 rod seam adhesive

IV. Resource Allocations:

Linda Wettle
Barbro Goodman
Pete Talley
George Yatrakis

Domestic Product Development
Paper Technology
Engineering
Flavor Development

PROJECT AMBROSIA II

- I. Objective: Develop 24.8 KS and 24.0 100mm cigarettes which provide reduced visible sidestream and acceptable mainstream taste.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Program initiated to increase share and volume by providing either a free standing or line extension of existing brand to address a consumer benefit for the smoker in sidestream visibility reduction, reduced sidestream aroma or a combination of the two.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: Design and develop prototypes at 24.8 circumference KS and 24.0 circumference 100mm

A. Prototype production ·

Complete

B. Consumer testing Phase I

Complete

<u>Strategy II</u>: Refinement of paper specifications/commercialization and improvements for mainstream taste

A. Identify paper and parameters for commercial TBD manufacture

B. Prototype production

4th Quarter 1992

C. Consumer testing

1st Quarter 1993

IV. Resource Allocations:

Linda Wettle
Jim Pflueger
Barbro Goodman
Barbara Joyner
Mable Fleming
Arlington Finley

Domestic Product Development
Flavor Development
Paper Technology
PED
PED

Filter Development

G. COMPUTER APPLICATIONS

DATA BASE MANAGEMENT (COMPUTER MODELLING)

- I. Objective: Design and implement an integrated modelling and data base management for Product Development.
- II. Explanatory Introduction: Product Development uses a few but important computer programs. They also interface with groups employing their own independent applications. Due to the different originators and variety of applications involved, information control and exchange is cumbersome. A system tailored to the informational flow and needs of product development will reduce prototype development time and errors. The system will be designed to interface with those implemented by the Supply Chain Project.

III. Strategies:

Strategy I: System Requirements Analysis

A.	Identify enhancements to "design"	March 1992
В.	Identify all relevant information and responsible people	May 1992
c.	Present findings to management	June 1992

Strategy II: System Design

A.	Define system layout and necessary hardware,	August 1992
	data and user interfaces	
В.	Present to management	August 1992

Strategy III: Software Requirements

Identify usable "as-is" programs

	rucherry doubte do ab programo	oop commer zijz
В.	Identify PM designed modules	September 1992

Strategy IV: Preliminary Design

A. Data interface/interchange definition November 1992

B. User interface definition January 1993

C. Present to management and group February 1993

Strategy V: Detailed Design

A. Refinement of user interface definition June 1993

B. Present to management and group June 1993

Strategy VI: Coding and Testing

A. Individual interface coding December 1993

B. Coding of PM custom modules February 1994

Strategy VII: Software and Hardware Integration

A. Bring first users online for testing purposes March 1994

B. Identify and fix system deficiencies April 1994

C. Final draft of documentation prepared June 1994

Strategy VIII: Operations and Maintenance

A. Release system to Product Development July 1994

B. Turnover of software and documentation to CAD August 1994

Strategy IX: Supply Chain Project

Similar strategies and tactics will follow. Timetables will be established and resources identified as Supply Chain systems are developed and implemented. 2021554937

IV. Resource Allocations:

C. Altizer

Product Development, USA

- W. Claflin
- S. Baldwin
- J. Smith

PED Coordinator

Semiworks Coordinator

CI/QA Coordinator

Operations Services Representative

- W. Dwyer
- B. Good
- R. Lipps

Existing Product Support

ET/NET PRODUCT INCLUSION

Objective:

To substitute and evaluate NET materials in existing brands.

Introduction:

NET expanded materials are to be used in existing brands to increase yield and filling power. These improvements will have to be demonstrated, as well as, not effecting the subjectives of the particular brand.

Strategy I:

Evaluate the substitution of NET processed #10 bright for DETA and incremental replacement of bright.

Tactics

Timetable

NET vs DETA at 12% in Marlboro

cigarettes to determine physicals.

February, 1992

Incremental increase above 12% NET to

test physical and subjective effects.

March, 1992

Strategy II:

Evaluate models that incorporate NET processed BLDET, burley and bright in various brands initially at current rates. The qualification of increased levels of NET materials in the various blends will be done on a secondary basis. Merit, Merit Ultra Lights, and Marlboro will be evaluated first.

Tactics

Timetable

Subjective evaluations of NET processed BLDET, burley, and bright as 100%

components

March, 1992

Optimize expansion parameters of

burley and oriental

June, 1992

Subjectively evaluating new blends

designed by Blend Development

June, 1992

Incorporation of NET materials in

Merit and Merit Ultra Lights

June, 1992

021554940

Casing and Flavor modifications,

if necessary September, 1992

POL Testing December, 1992

Modifications, if necessary April, 1993

Recommendations and final report June, 1993

Resources:

Flavor Technology B. Taylor-0.20 man-years
Flavor Technology J. Swain 0.10 man-years

Leaf Blend Group C. Moogalian

Process Development J. Dobbs Cigarette Technology B. Peace

Semiworks G. Romig/J. Warren

CTSD J. Lightner

Packaging Studies: Operational Plan 1992

Strategic Goal 1: Support the company's present product lines and business operations.

I. Objective: Qualify suppliers of waterborne printing inks in order to meet fast

flow inventory criteria and to have one family of brands printed with

this technology.

Strategies/Tactics - Timetables

Strategy: Establish a partnership with an ink company committed to waterborne

inks.

Tactic/Timetable: Provide input to Purchasing on selection of an ink company

March, 1992

Provide technical support on an as-requested basis.

Strategy: Develop an analytical procedure for determining specification levels

of waterborne ink components in packaging material.

Tactic/Timetable: Investigate analytical techniques for quantitating components in this

ink system.

March, 1992

Transfer method to QA and vendors.

September, 1992

Strategy: Correlate levels of waterborne ink components with subjective

acceptability.

Tactic/Timetable: Determine the organoleptic threshold of components by evaluating each

individually and in a mixture.

December, 1992

Strategy: Develop printing specifications for printed waterborne packaging

material with respect to inks, lacquers, solvents and substrates.

Tactic/Timetable: Evaluate each component for analytical and subjective acceptability.

December, 1992

Provide service to Purchasing when evaluating new components on an as-

requested basis.

Strategy: Support the evaluation of new ink systems and/or vendors.

Tactic/Timetable: Provide analytical and subjective evaluation of new items on an as-

requested basis.

Resource Allocations:

Flavor Technology: B. Mait - Program Coordinator - 0.5 man years

R. Hale - Basic Investigations - 0.5 man years

T. Cravotta - Subjective Evaluations - 0.5 man years

Analytical Division: D. Ingraham - Analytical Support - 0.3 man years

II. Objective: Qualify suppliers of offset printing for use on promotional items and low

volume brands.

Strategies/Tactic - Timetable -

Strategy: Develop an analytical procedure for determining specification levels of

offset ink components in packaging material.

Tactic/Timetable: Investigate analytical techniques for quantitating components in this ink

system.

July, 1992

Transfer method to QA and vendors.

September, 1992

2021554943

Strategy: Correlate levels of offset ink components with subjective acceptability.

Tactic/Timetable: Determine the organoleptic threshold of components by evaluating each

individually and in a mixture.

December, 1992

Strategy:

Develop printing specifications for printed offset packaging material with

respect to inks, lacquers, solvents and substrates.

Tactic/Timetable:

Evaluate each component for analytical and subjective acceptability.

December, 1992

Provide service to Purchasing when evaluating new components on an as-

requested basis.

Strategy:

Support the evaluation of new ink systems/vendors.

Tactic/Timetable:

Provide analytical and subjective evaluation of new items on an as-requested

basis.

Resource Allocations:

Flavor Technology: B. Mait - Program Coordinator

- 0.5 man years

T. Cravotta - Subjective Evaluations

- 0.5 man years

R. Hale - Basic Investigations

- 0.5 man years

Analytical Division: D. Ingraham - Method Development

- 0.5 man years

III. Objective:

Develop a working database for Packaging Studies. This database will contain information on vendors, ink formulations, substrates, lacquers, solvents,

etc. This database will be capable of searching by various fields of input.

Strategy/Tactic-Timetable:

Strategy:

Work with Computer Applications Division on developing the necessary software

for the database.

Tactic/Timetable:

Database to be installed.

July, 1992

Resource Allocations:

Computer Applications - R. Lipps

- 0.3 man years

Packaging Studies - R. Dunaway

- 0.5 man years

IV. Objective:

Determine the effects of high barrier film on our products.

2021554944

Strategy/Tactics-Timetable:

Strategy:

Support Quality Engineering in the investigation of new high barrier film for

use on our products.

Tactic/Timetable:

Continue representing Packaging Studies on the Cigarette Shelf Life

Improvement Team.

Provide analytical and subjective evaluation of films on an as-requested

basis.

Resource Allocation:

Packaging Studies - 0.3 man years

V. Objective:

Monitor and qualify promotional items received from Purchasing.

Strategy/Tactic-Timetable:

Strategy:

Evaluate the promotional items for material, chemical and subjective

acceptability.

Tactic/Timetable:

Report analytical and subjective results to appropriate personnel on an as-

requested basis. Subjective evaluation will continue until an analytical

procedure is in place to qualify these items.

Resource Allocations:

Flavor Technology - B. Mait/R. Dunaway, Coordinators

- 0.5 man years

R. Hale - Analytical

- 0.3 man years

2021554945

T. Cravotta & Packaging Panel - Subjective Evaluation - 0.25 man years

VI. Objective:

Qualify packaging material for new brand introductions, line extensions and

package graphics changes.

Strategy/Tactic-Timetable:

Strategy:

Evaluate new material for analytical and subjective results and report to

appropriate personnel on an as-requested basis.

Resource Allocation:

B. Mait/R. Dunaway, Coordinators

- 0.5 man years

R. Hale - Analytical

- 0.5 man years

T. Cravotta & Packaging Panel - Subjective Evaluation

- 0.25 man years

Packaging Studies Resource Allocations:

Project Leader

1 man year

Scientist

1 man year

Product Testing Tech II

1 man year

Hoechst High Barrier Films (Support to Quality Engineering)

Objective:

To determine the impact of the improved sealant layer developed by Hoechst for their high barrier OPP films, on pack seal efficiency. To determine the impact of the Hoechst film on the product's ability to maintain targeted moisture levels in the desert and jungle rooms. To perform a preliminary subjective test to qualify the Hoechst High Barrier films.

Introduction:

Preliminary machine evaluations were conducted in September, 1991, which indicated the Hoechst ZNA-25 HB (100 G) had superior sealing characteristics as compared to our standard (Mobil BSR-80 G). Indications also showed approximately a 30% improvement in moisture barrier properties when exposed to adverse conditions(desert and jungle conditions).

Strategies:

Repeat the testing conducted in September, 1991, to confirm the sealing characteristics of the Hoechst HB ZNA-25 on the high speed wrapper (GD 500) and the moisture barrier properties. To determine the sealing characteristics of Hoechst HB ZNA-20 (80 G) and Hoechst HB ZNA-30 (120 G). Define the sealing characteristics of each of the above and compare the results to the Mobil overwrap. Determine the moisture barrier properties of each and the impact on subjectives with the improved moisture barrier materials.

<u>Tactics</u> <u>Timetables</u>

Production of Marlboro LS with the control (Mobil) and Hoechst films. initial subjectives prior to testing

February, 1992

Initiate testing under adverse conditions

February, 1992

Subjective evaluation of the conditioned samples on a weekly basis until subjectives are unacceptable for the improved films

May, 1992

Recommendations

June, 1992

Repeat testing, if needed

July, 1992

Subjective evaluation, as needed

December, 1992

Resources:

Testing

G. Overstreet

0.25 man-years

Subjective evaluation

T. Cravotta/V. Willis

0.20 man-year

CTSD

J. Lightner - 0.02 man-years

ARD

B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

RJR Flavorseal Overwrap

Objective:

Determine if products with Flavorseal packaging maintains their physical, chemical and subjective properties longer than products with polypropylene overwrap.

Introduction:

RJ Reynolds uses a metallized polyester overwrap on their Winston and Salem brands. They claim this overwrap keeps the product fresher, longer. This series of tests will look at the products under various environmental conditions to determine if freshness is maintained. The environmental conditions to be tested are ambient, desert, jungle and cycled (inhouse conditioning chamber). The conditions are to cover the possibilities that a product could encounter in the marketplace.

Strategy:

Compare the polypropylene overwrap with the Flavorseal overwrap for the Winston and Winston Lights 100's SP and Salem and Salem Lights 100's SP.

Tactics	Timetables

Recommendation on test set-up February, 1992

Initiate environmental testing March, 1992

Complete environmental testing.

Subjective evaluation every two weeks for the first two months, then monthly

for the last four months September, 1992

Subjective based recommendations October, 1992

Resources:

Testing (CTSD, QE and ARD)

C. Spielberg, B. Rech and

B. Handy - 0.05 man-years

Subjective evaluation V. Willis and K.Deane

0.04 man-years

Factory Primary Support 1992 Operational Plan

Objective:

To provide support for the subjective qualification of factory primary

modernization programs.

Introduction:

Factory primary equipment requires periodic upgrades and modernization for more efficient and cost effective processing of tobacco prior to cigarette production. All equipment changes require subjective evaluation and qualification prior to implementation to assure product integrity. Flavor Technology (D. Spruill and subjective panels) will

continue this support function as needed throughout 1992.

Stategy #1:

Qualify the new MZM export strip operation at McKinney, VA.

Tactics:

Compare the current MZM operation with the new MZM facility by preparing products from each location and by performing subjective evaluations for product/process qualification. February, 1992

Strategy #2:

Qualify new P&S Dryer #3 at the MC.

Tactics:

Burley tobacco will be processed at single and double rates.

Analytical and subjective evaluations of 100% burley and Marlboro cigarettes will be performed to qualify the dryer at both rates.

March, 1992

Strategy #3:

Replace and qualify P&S Dryers #1 and #2 at the MC.

Tactics:

Evaluate new dryers at single rate.

Prepare cigarettes (100% burley and Marlboro) and evaluate both analytically and subjectively for dryer qualification. September, 1992

Strategy #4:

Replace and qualify A/C cylinders at the MC.

Tactics:

Install one large capacity cylinder, prepare cigarettes and qualify

this cylinder subjectively.

Systematically, remove remaining A/C cylinders and qualify each individually as above. July, 1992

Resource Allocations:

Flavor Technology	0.30
Tech. Services	0.05
CTSD	0.05
ARD	0.05
Semi-Works	0.07
Cigarette Technology	0.03
QA and Mfg. Engineering	
Total R&D	0.50

Small Scale Process Improvement

Objective:

To achieve parity with large scale so that sample size would be the only factor for determining whether requests are produced in Small Scale or Large Scale.

Introduction:

Modifications were made in the small scale processing in 1991 to bring the processing conditions of the small scale closer to that of large scale. The modifications included the installation of new equipment, and changes in the existing equipment. Since most of the jobs that are requested in small scale have a master blend that was produced in large scale and additional flavor systems are applied in small scale, it was agreed that the process would be qualified from the aftercut system backward. The "old" small scale process still exists (with the exception of a change in the aftercut application) and will be used as in the past.

Strategy #1:

Small Scale Processing will continue to be used as a screening tool to reduce the number of samples requested for large scale processing.

Tactics

Timetables 1 4 1

Casings, flavors and blends to be screened from the small scale process prior to making larger quantities in the large scale process

Ongoing

Strategy #2:

Establish operating procedures and processing parameters for the new equipment.

July, 1992

Strategy #3:

Examine the individual processing steps and strive to understand and minimize the differences between Large Scale and Small Scale. 2021554952

Tactics

Timetables

Investigate the aftercut application

March, 1992

Investigate the conditioning, cutting

and drying April, 1992

Investigate bright/oriental casing

June, 1992

Investigate burley top casing

July, 1992

Investigate burley spray August, 1992

Investigate rotary batch conditioning

(vs vacuum conditioner) September, 1992

Investigate total process October, 1992

Recommendation December, 1992

Resources:

Processing

T. Skidmore - 0.2 man-years
Subjective evaluation

K. Deane - 0.01 man-years
Subjective evaluation

C. Scott - 0.02 man-years

CTSD

J. Lightner - 0.01 man-years

ARD

B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

Tobacco Materials and Reclamation

Objective: Subjectively evaluate returned goods and/or out of

specification filler to determine most cost effective

disposition.

Strategy: Determine most cost effective disposition (rippers,

expanded, sheet products) of filler while maintaining

subjective integrity.

Tactic: Prepare cigarette models, determine subjectives, and

recommend disposition.

Timetable: As requested.

Resources: As required.

Processing Plant Support

PARK 500

RL's

Objective:

Provide Flavor Technology support to Park 500 for flavor systems and process modifications to address capacity, economic, environmental and overall quality issues.

Introduction:

Evaluation of feedstock humectant level, flavor replacements and equipment modifications have continued to support production in maintaining quality. Implementation of the dry flavor replacement and process modifications contributed to improved processing. Support in 1992 will address feedstock issued such as Class tobacco utilization and alternate denitration options.

Strategy I:

Provide support for the evaluation of by-products utilization at Park 500.

Tactics

<u>Timetable</u>

Identify potential ranges of usage

from previous tests if available

As requested

Evaluate in RL Pilot Plant prior to

Park 500 feedstock

As needed

Stability/Usage of Class W from

production sources to Park 500

May, 1992

Strategy II:

Develop and evaluate alternate denitration options with Process Development through separate Burley stem processing.

Tactics

Timetable

Apply experiences learned from alternate jobbers trials of separate stem processing.

RL Pilot Plant trials of RLTC and RLB. Complete

Chemical and physical analyses of

RL's

February, 1992

2021554955

Semiworks trials for physicals and

subjective testing

March, 1992

Cigarettes analyses and panel tests

April, 1992

Subjective (Go/No go) decision to go

to Park 500 RL's

June, 1992

Production trials at Park 500

- Similar tactics as above from

Pilot RL's

PED

August, 1992

Complete POL consumer tests, physical tests, and product cost of discarding

and treating of burley stem solubles

November, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology B. Taylor - 0.20 Flavor Technology J. Swain - 0.10 Process Development R. Uhl/R. Ellis

Process Engineer(Park 500) D. Saunders

Cigarette Technology B. Peace

Semiworks G. Romig/J.Warren CTSD J. Lightner

M. Jeltema

Processing Plant Support

BL PLANT

RCB

I. Increased Line Speed

Objective:

To increase capacity by increasing line speed.

Introduction:

Trials began in June, 1991 to increase line speed from 330 fpm to 350 fpm without changing subjectives. The increase line speed at normal solids levels (18.5%) required higher drying temperatures to maintain a 16.0% moisture. Trials in June (1-3) and July (1-3) were ineffective to find the correct dryer profile to maintain subjective parity.

A third series of trials were conducted in November (4-6). These incorporated temperatures in-between the June and July series. It was indicated that temperatures in the initial zones (1-3) have a greater influence on subjectives. The test with the closest average temperature (680°F) to the control (675°F) showed no subjective differences. This test (6) is presently being prepared for POL 03012.

Strategy I:

In order to predict dryer temperature profiles at 350 fpm for the other two lines, a better understanding of the dryers is needed. Therefore, a dryer study should be conducted to establish temperature profiles in the following manner:

Tactics

Timetable

- A. Document Dryer Operation-All lines
 - 1. Baseline OV profiles
 - 2. Baseline air flow/temperatures
 - 3. Baseline subjectives
 - 4. Environmental sampling

April, 1992

- B. Modify Line 3
 - 1. Adjust Line 3 dryer setup to Line 1
 - 2. Subjective evaluations

May, 1992

C. Modify Line 2

- 1. Adjust Line 2 dryer setup to
 Line 1
- 2. Subjective evaluations
- 3. Subjective baseline of Line 2 and Line 3 modifications

October, 1992

Strategy II.

Once the modifications to the dryer temperatures are complete, the speedup trials will be conducted in the following manner:

Tactics

Timetable

- A. Speed-Up Trials:
 - 1. Line 2 trials
 - 2. Internal subjectives
 - 3. Line 3 trials
 - 4. Internal subjectives

February, 1993

- B. Subjective Evaluations of the 3 Lines Combined:
 - 1. Internal evaluations
 - 2. Conduct POL of RCB(3 Lines) April, 1993
- C. Recommend speedup to BL Plant, if feasible June, 1993

II. Dry Flavor Replacement

Objective:

Develop a liquid flavor system to replace dry flavors in RCB while maintaining subjective parity.

Introduction:

The BL Plant is presently using a dry flavor system. The flavors are blended with the production dust and the mixture is not homogeneous. In an effort to produce a more consistent sheet, liquid flavors will replace dry flavors. This will also reduce cleanup in the blending area.

Two sheets were produced in September using 75-700 and 75-700 + 02-130 instead of dry flavors. These prototypes were evaluated and the sheet with 75-700 was selected for further testing. A POL was produced which showed no significant differences.

Implementation has been recommended to the BL Plant.

Strategy:

Implementation will be supported by the preparation of drums of 75-700 at the Flavor Center and personnel will monitor the initial startup. Initial RCB (100%) cigarettes will be subjectively evaluated by members of Group 2305.

III. Mentholated Tobacco Dust (Class 6)

Objective:

To increase the utilization level of mentholated tobacco dust in RCB.

Introduction:

The current level of mentholated tobacco dust used in RCB is 15% (14.5% Class 6 and 0.5% Class 4M). However, with the present inventory and future plans for generation of Class 6, increased utilization in RCB has been proposed.

During 1991, levels of 15%, 25% and 30% in finished sheet were produced in the Cast Leaf Lab. No menthol was detected subjectively or analytically. Therefore, the above was reproduced in the Process Chemistry and Tobacco Fundamentals Lab. No menthol was subjectively or analytically detected by this process either.

Strategy:

Due to the fact that menthol was not detected by either method, trials have been requested in the Cast Leaf Pilot Plant in April prior to production trials.

Tactics

Timetable

Subjective evaluations will be conducted by Cast Leaf Panel and FTD's Panel in Marlboro. Selection of a level of Class 6 for BL Plant trials will based on the results.

June, 1992

2021554959

Trials at the BL Plant with the higher level of Class 6 will be requested per

BL Plant's schedule.

July, 1992

a. The same subjective procedures will be followed as above with the addition of the MC Panel prior to requesting a POL.

August, 1992

b. Upon completion of qualification tests, recommendation will be made to Leaf Department.

October, 1992

IV. Unwashed Burley Stems

Objective:

To evaluate unwashed replacing washed burley stems in RCB to address

environmental effluent issues.

Introduction:

In August the BL Plant produced RCB using unwashed Burley stems, samples of sheet, slurry and dust were sampled for chemical analyses in an effort to track NO₃-N content.

After internal panel evaluations, a POL was produced using the unwashed burley stems in RCB, but due to low tar values it was not released. A decision was made to remake the test RCB with unwashed burley stems, reduced humectants and liquid flavor for qualification of the combination of changes.

Strategy:

Subjectively qualify unwashed burley stems in production RCB.

Tactics

<u>Timetable</u>

BL Plant trial of RCB with unwashed burley stems, reduced

humectants and liquid flavor.

February, 1992

Charles and M. Bourlas).

February, 1992

Evaluations of impact on cigarette

yield/filling power (R. Uhl).

March, 1992

Subjective qualification-POL test

April, 1992

If implementation is feasible, equipment modifications to bypass extractor and

press are anticipated (J. Gomes).

May, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology B. Hoskin - 0.30 man years Flavor Technology J. Swain - 0.10 man years

Process Development R. Uhl
Process Development G. Gellatly
Process Development R. McFadden
BL Plant Engineer R. Smith

Cigarette Technology B. Peace

Semiworks G. Romig/J. Warren CTSD J. Lightner

ARD C. Ament

ALTERNATE SHEET SOURCING

Objective:

To qualify potential alternate sources of RL to address capacity

issues.

Introduction:

Domestic feedstock trials at Spotswood and LTR failed to subjectively replace RLTC while ARL showed more promise. Modes of processing the Burley stems were identified as contributing to the subjective differences in the RL Pilot Plant. Completed LTR trials with European feedstocks and RLTC flavor system were made to comfirm the influence of

processing differences.

Strategy:

Develop and evaluate alternate(Jobbers) sources of RL with the RLTC flavor system to address long term capacity and European sourcing issues.

Tactics

Timetable

Subjective results of screening 100% LTR cigarettes by PME Leaf Group were similar to our Group's results in 24% handmade

cigarettes for recent trials at LTR.

January, 1992

LTR test sheets produced with the burley press cake routed to the stock chest and concentration of solubles through the Multiple Effect Evaporator were selected

for MiniPrimary trials at PME.

February, 1992

Complete storage study of conditions to transport and hold the export TC flavors.

February, 1992

Subjective evaluations in Pan-European and
German Marlboro by Panel A may be followed

by Consumer Panel testing.

April, 1992

Pending results from these trials, logistics of supplying the flavor system will be coordinated through Operations Services.

As requested

2021554962

Resources:

Flavor Technology
Flavor Technology
Process Development

Park 500 PME

Cigarette Technology

CTSD

J. Swain - 0.05 man years

B. Taylor -0.01 man years

R. Uhl D. Clark

R. Wagoner

B. Peace

J. Lightner

COOKED FLAVOR CAPACITY

Objectives:

To support cooked flavor production and scale-up the reactor at the Flavor Center.

To qualify alternate sources for high fructose corn syrup and asparagine.

Introduction: The Flavor Center has requested that their cooked flavor reactor be scaled up. The projected demand is larger than their reactor can supply with a one-shift operation. Statistical Process Control (SPC) is going to be introduced and the present vessel is not set up to work with SPC. The larger vessel would therefore have better process control mechanisms, which would decrease the number of rejected or blended-borderline batches.

> New suppliers of High Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS) and asparagine are needed. The variability of HFCS has continued to be an issue while a domestic source of asparagine is desirable. To avoid future quality issues, new suppliers will be evaluated.

Strategy:

Flavor Technology personnel will support these goals through collaborations with Operations Services, Engineering, Purchasing and Flavor Center personnel to formulate with the alternate materials and evaluate 75-814 from the improved reactor system..

<u>Tactics</u> <u>Time</u>	<u>etable</u>
----------------------------	---------------

Justifications for scale-up will be written by the Flavor Center.

February, 1992

Initiate installation of new reactor.

When approved

Flavor Center trials of cooked flavor using Krystar HFCS and Monsanto asparagine.

July, 1992

Trials of test flavors will be run in the RL Pilot Plant for subjective evaluations on

internal panels

When available

Resources:

Engineering
Operations Services

Process Development

Semiworks

Cigarette Technology

CTSD

B. Hoskin - 0.10 man years

J. Swain - 0.05 man years

D. Karnes

B. Sorrels

E. Tucker

R. Uhl

G. Romig/J. Warren

B. Peace

J. Lightner

Domestic Panel Support

Objective:

- 1. To provide subjective evaluations (rod and smoking characteristics) of prototypes, modifications of existing brands, new brands and monitoring of competitors' products.
- 2. To provide training, maintenance and support to auxiliary panels (e.g., Richmond, Semi-Works, filter, paper and Cast Leaf.)

Introduction:

Flavor Technology has provided subjective support to internal and external areas within Philip Morris. In 1991, over 250 panels, 58 subjective profiles of existing brands and one market introduction (Marlboro Medium) were completed. Factory problems concerning subjectives were also addressed. Members of the Cast Leaf program were trained and are currently evaluating Cast Leaf prototypes.

Strategy #1:

Conduct evaluations on development programs, monitoring of domestic competitive brands and any problems associated with production and/or processing plants.

Tactics	<u>Timetables</u>
Complete KGF Study Evaluations	March, 1992
Complete Project Gold Study (Pre-applied Adhesives)	April, 1992
Complete Volatile Component aging study (Lark/Parliament)	May, 1992
Complete Glycerin/Triacetin Study	May, 1992
Complete Study on Export Product Standardization - GCC (Cigarette Shipping	June, 1992
Complete Storage Studies for Winston and Salem (Flavorseal)	October, 1992

Subjective evaluation of small scale

process improvement models

November, 1992

Complete PMF Machine Evaluations

May, 1992

(Taste/Odor, Stale)

Complete Hoechst High Barrier Films

Study

May, 1992

Complete Factory Support Evaluations/

Qualifications (Equipment)

December, 1992

Complete Project Grain Evaluations

December, 1992

Factory Issues

As needed

Subjective Evaluation of POL Samples As needed

Subjective Characterization of New

and Modified Brands

As needed

Subjective evaluation of Materials from

Operations and Technical

Services Group

As needed

Subjective Monitoring of New Brand

As needed

Startup

Resources:

Flavor Technology

K. Deane - 1.00 man-years

Strategy #2:

Train auxiliary panels to screen development prototypes and to judge

acceptability of final products.

Semi-Works Panel

Tactics

Timetables

Initiate training on attributes

February, 1992

Continue training on blends and

blend components

April, 1992

Conduct studies on test methodology comparing data from Flavor Tech. and

Semiworks panel

May, 1992

Complete training

June, 1992

Review of blends and components

August, 1992

Resource:

Flavor Technology

Semiworks

K. Deane - 0.02 man-years

W. Banks/C. Scott 0.10 man-years

Richmond Panel

Tactics	Timetables
Initiate basic taste, aromatic and attribute training	March, 1992
Continue training on blends and components	May, 1992
Training completed	June, 1992
Review of blends and blend components	August, 1992

Resource:

Flavor Technology K. Deane - 0.01 man-years
New Products D. Atkinson - 0.02 man-years

Filter and Paper Development Panels

<u>Tactics</u> <u>Timetables</u>

Initiate basic taste, aromatic and

and attribute training

March, 1992

Continue training with blend and

blend components

May, 1992

Tactics

Timetables

Characterization of filter and

paper prototype

June, 1992

Completed training

July, 1992

Review of blends and blend

components

September, 1992

Resource:

Flavor Technology

Paper Technology Filter Technology K. Deane - 0.01 man-years

Barbro Goodman - 0.02 man-years Ken Newman - 0.02 man-years

Cast Leaf Panel

Continue evaluation of prototypes (produced in the Cast Leaf Pilot

Plant)

October, 1992

Final recommendations based on

subjectives

October, 1992

Resource:

Flavor Technology

K. Deane, B. Taylor, B. Hoskin and

V. Willis 0.50 man-years

Process Development

T. Holland, G. Gellatly and M.

Parker 0.08 man-years

Flavor Technology Panel

Review blend and blend components

April, 1992

Conduct studies on test methodology

with the Semiworks panel

May, 1992

Develop terminology definitions

July, 1992

Review of blend, blend components

and attributes

September, 1992

Resource:

Flavor Technology

Semiworks

PED

K. Deane - 0.10 man-years

G. Romig/J. Warren - 0.01 man-years

J. Tindall (PED) - 0.005 man-years

International Panel Support

Objective:

1. To provide subjective evaluations (rod and smoke characteristics) of development prototypes, modifications of existing brands and monitoring of export (PM and competitors) brands.

Objective:

2. To provide training and maintenance for the international panel and auxiliary export panels.

Introduction:

The International panel has provided subjective support to internal and external areas within Philip Morris. Forty-eight (48) subjective characterizations and 96 panels were completed in 1991.

Strategy #1:

Continue to monitor existing brands and provide subjective evaluations in prototype development. Further training will be conducted to standardize panel.

Tactics	Timetables

Screening in basic taste, aromatics, use of scales and attribute training

March, 1992

Standard Method - Sensory Evaluations Workshop for the Australia/Asia Pacific Region (review of panels and sensory

techniques March, 1992

Training on blends and components May, 1992

(export and domestic)

Complete Distribution System studies

(Panama) As needed

Complete training July, 1992

Subjective characterization of

export brands Continuous

New or modified brand startup

As needed

とくさとことがと	ソニン・ノン・	
3	1	
	ころところんとっ	バボサロロオグ

	Factory issues	As needed
Resources:		
	Flavor Technology Panel	K. Deane - 0.10 man-years
	Workshop	B. Taylor - 0.01 man-years
	Semiworks	G. Romig and J. Warren
		0.01 man-years
	CTSD	J. Lightner - 0.01 man-years
	ARD	B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

Marlboro Standardization

Objective:

- 1. To identify and reduce sources of variations in PM brands between production and processing facilities.
- 2. To provide training, maintenance and support to factory panels which could possibly identify and reduce sources of taste/odor/stale customer complaints.
- 3. To subjectively test and monitor Marlboro product from different locations externally (POL testing).

Introduction:

Marlboro Standardization was initiated in 1984 to ensure PM brands produced at different locations were subjectively equivalent. In February, 1985, the first factory pickup of Marlboro LS and KS was conducted with Standard Runs I and II following in June and September. These runs concentrated on the subjective effects of interchanging ET with DET, age of materials and aftercut tobacco temperatures. A Marlboro Standardization panel was started to subjectively evaluate the pickups and standard runs. From 1985-1991, nine standard runs have been completed. A historical database on raw materials, direct materials, processing parameters, blend components, etc. has been established. This data has been used in qualifying equipment, determining uniform processing parameters and product development. Good manufacturing practices and process specifications for primary culminated from this data. These manufacturing practices and process specifications have been issued to the production facilities for daily use.

Strategy #1:

Tactics

Conduct factory pickups and a standard run to monitor the quality of Marlboro by subjective and analytical testing.

<u>Timetables</u>

2021554973

Factory pickup of Marlboro and Marlboro Medium KS and FTB	February 1002
Mariboro Medium K3 and F1B	February, 1992
Issue results of February pickup	April, 1992

Factory pickup of Marlboro Lights

SP and FTB April, 1992

Issue results on April pickup June, 1992

Factory pickup of Marlboro and

Marlboro Medium SP and FTB June, 1992

Issue results of June pickup July, 1992

Prepare for Marlboro Standard Run X July, 1992

Marlboro Standardization Run X August, 1992

Issue subjective results from Marlboro

Standard Run X October, 1992

Factory pickup of Marlboro Lights

SP and FTB October, 1992

Issue revised Factory Panel Leader

Manual December, 1992

Factory pickup of Marlboro and

Marlboro Medium SP and FTB December, 1992

Transfer flavor audits to Factory

QA's December, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology K. Deane and K. Lam

1.0 man-years

Cigarette Technology D. Atkinson - 0.05 man-years

Packaging/Flavor Technology B. Mait - 0.03 man-years

CTSD J. Lightner - 1.0 man-years

ARD B. Handy - 0.20 man-years

PED A. Smith 0.10 man-years

Operational Services R. Hatcher - 0.10 man-years

Semiworks J. Warren - 0.08 man-years

Cabarrus Panel J. Crowe - 0.15 man-years

2021554974

Manufacturing Center Panel

Louisville Panel

Stockton Street Panel

K. Smith 0.15 man-years

D. Price - 0.15 man-years

R. Freelin - 0.15 man-years

Strategy #2:

Training for factory panels and quarterly panel leader workshops will be conducted. The factory panels will monitor their daily production which could identify and possibly reduce taste/odor/stale customer complaints. Factory panels will also evaluate factory pickups and Standard Run X for monitoring purposes.

Tactics

Timetables

Cabarrus Factory Panel

Submit materials for screening of panelists on taste and odor and use

of scales

January, 1992

Initiate Attribute Training

February, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

March, 1992

Continue Attribute Training

March, 1992

Review of blends and blend

components

April, 1992

Complete training

June, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

July, 1992

Blend and blend components (includes export blends produced at Cabarrus)

characterization

September, 1992

Issue revised training manual

November, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

December, 1992

Louisville Factory Panel

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop March, 1992

Screening of panelists on basic taste,

aromatics and use of scales April, 1992

Attribute Training April, 1992

Menthol Training May, 1992

Review of blends and blend

components May, 1992

Complete training July, 1992

· Factory Panel Leadership Workshop July, 1992

Review menthol levels and blends September, 1992

Issue revised training manual November, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop December, 1992

Manufacturing Center Panel

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop March, 1992

Screening of panelists on basic taste,

aromatics and use of scales April, 1992

Attribute Training April, 1992

Blends and components

characterization June, 1992

Complete training July, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop July, 1992.

Issue revised manual November, 1992

021554976

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

December, 1992

Stockton Street Panel

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

March, 1992

Screening of panelists on basic taste,

aromatics and use of scales

March, 1992

Attribute Training

April, 1992

Blends and blend components (export

blends produced at S/S)

characterization

June, 1992

Characterization of export brands

August, 1992

Complete training

October, 1992

Issue revised manual

November, 1992

Factory Panel Leadership Workshop

December, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology

Cabarrus Panel

K. Deane - 0.10 man-years

C. Bridges and T. Alexander

0.10 man-years

Manufacturing Center Panel

Louisville Panel

Stockton Street Panel

J. Chiarello - 0.10 man-years

B. Wayne - 0.10 man-years

H. Partin and B. Coleman

0.20 man-years

Strategy #3:

POL testing (monadic evaluation) of scheduled factory pickups and cigarettes produced from Standard Run X. This will aid in defining

Marlboro control regions and develop new statistical methods.

Tactics

Timetables

Factory Pickups

Stockton Street SP	1/27/92
Stockton Street FTB	2/10/92
Stockton Street FTB	3/2/92
Cabarrus SP	3/9/92
Louisville FTB	4/21/92
Manufacturing Center SP	5/26/92
Semi-Works SP	6/8/92
Louisville FTB	8/10/92
Manufacturing Center FTB	10/5/92
Semi-Works FTB	11/2/92
Louisville SP	11/30/92
Cabarrus FTB	12/3/92

Standard Run X

Marlboro LS and KS (M/C)	9/8/92
Marlboro LS and KS (CBS)	9/14/92
Marlboro LS and KS (LVL)	9/21/92
Marlboro LS and KS (S/S)	9/28/92
Marlboro LS and KS (SW)	9/28/92
Mariboro LS and KS (PMF)	9/28/92

Resources:

PED A. Smith - 0.15 man-years
Technical Services J. Hutchison - 0.20 man-years
Semiworks J. Warren/G. Romig - 0.06 man-years
CTSD J. Lightner - 0.04 man-years

2021554978

Products Technology D. Atkinson - 0.01 man-years
Flavor Technology K. Deane - 0.10 man-years

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

Cigarette Storage/Transportation/Shipping Study (Support to Quality Engineering)

Objective:

Define the impact of cycling temperature and humidity typically seen within shipping containers and the effects of heating and cooling cycles on product discoloration, staining, subjectives, and analyticals.

Introduction:

Based on information from simulated studies on the shipping containers and the Export Product Standardization - Singapore study, a program was formulated to address several issues. The first phase of the simulated study at KGF was a cycling of temperature and humidity conditions during a twenty-four (24) hour period. The testing was conducted at KGF with the profiles typically seen in Richmond in August through the beginning of September.

A total of fifteen (15) samples were shipped to KGF for testing. The following dates were designated for sample acquisitions from the Storage Box at KGF.

Test initiated	December 9, 1991
Pick-up #1	December 12, 1991
Pick-up #2	December 19, 1991
Pick-up #3	December 26, 1991
Pick-up #4	January 2, 1992
Pick-up #5	January 9, 1992
Pick-up #6	January 16, 1992
Pick-up #7	January 23, 1992

Strategy #1:

Subjectively evaluate the fifteen models that were shipped to KGF and returned to Richmond without being exposed to the simulated study with cycling temperature and humidity conditions. Evaluate each of the models from the scheduled acquisitions of the simulated study and compare them to the control to determine when and how the subjective effects of the products changed.

Tactics

Timetables

Complete initial evaluation of models shipped to KGF and returned to

Richmond

January, 1992

Complete evaluation of models shipped to KGF and exposed to the conditioning

chamber (Standard film)

February, 1992

Complete subjective evaluation of cartons with higher gauge film, with and without overwrap, that have been subjected to the cycling temperature and humidity conditions., to determine

the quality and effect on subjectives

March, 1992

Resources:

Testing

B. Rech and M. Mobrem - QE

Product Development contact

G. Overstreet - 0.05 man-years B. Tierney and V. Graff-Muse

0.10 man-years

Subjective evaluation

V. Willis and K. Deane

0.04 man-years

Analytical evaluation

M. Mobrem and Judith Lighter

0.05 man-years

Strategy #2:

Repeat the testing in Strategy #1 with specified models and conditions for the Export Product Standardization - Singapore and the GCC Study to determine the effects of cycling temperature and humidity conditions in the shipping containers. Conditions, based on previous data, will be simulated in a controlled environmental chamber.

Tactics

Timetables

Initial evaluation of models from the inhouse conditioning chamber with

standard materials

June, 1992

2021554981

Complete subjective evaluation of high gauge film from the inhouse conditioning chamber July, 1992

Report findings

August, 1992

Recommendations

September, 1992

Resources:

Testing B. Rech and M. Mobrem - QE

G. Overstreet - 0.05 man-years

Cigarette Technology contact B. Tierney and V. Graff-Muse

0.03 man-years

Subjective evaluation V. Willis and K. Deane

0.04 man-years

Analytical evaluation M. Mobrem and J. Lighter

0.01 man-years

ARD B. Handy 0.01 man-years

Export Product Standardization - GCC

Objective:

Determine the impact of adverse environmental conditions upon the subjective character and analytical specifications of finished products. Compare the results of this study with similar studies conducted using products with carbon filters.

Introduction:

Concerns have existed for years over the condition(s) of P.M. products that reach consumers in foreign countries due to the excessive transport time, climatic conditions, damage, etc., which occur between the initial shipping date and the date of consumer purchase.

This project addresses the subjective character changes due to adverse climatic conditions with time, and to provide insight to resolve these subjective changes.

Status:

The following models are under evaluation for the GCC Export Product Standardization:

Control: Marlboro LS FTB (12.5% Pack O.V.) with GCC leaf blend, standard casings and standard export aftercut

Test #1: Same as Control with 13.25% Pack O.V.

Test #2: Marlboro LS FTB (12.5% Pack O.V.) with GCC leaf blend, standard casings and domestic aftercut

Test #3: Same as Test 2 with 13.25% Pack O.V.

Strategy

Environmental testing with subjective and analytical tracing to determine the effect of subjectives and flavor at adverse conditions.

<u>Tactics</u> <u>Timetables</u>

Initiate environmental testing December, 1991

2021554983

Interim report for the Desert, Jungle, Coldroom and Ambient conditions over the six weeks of testing and subjective evaluation

February, 1992

Complete the six month testing for analytical and subjective testing

June, 1992

Comparison of results with carbon

August, 1992 filter products

Completion report and recommen-

dations September, 1992

Produce products with recommended

October, 1992 changes

November, 1992 Subjective evaluation

Make products with recommended and the best film to date and initiate

environmental testing January, 1993

Resource:

K. Deane - 0.02 man-years Internal subjectives **CTSD** L. Chambers - 0.01 man-years Flavor Analyses B. Hale - 0.02 man-years Component Analyses on filler/ARD B. Handy - 0.01 man-years M. Garrett 0.05 man-years Flavor Technology V. Graff-Muse and B. Tierney Cigarette Technology 0.01 man-years

B. Rech - 0.005 man-year Quality Engineering

Volatile Component Aging Study

Objective:

Determine the subjective and analytical changes in products with carbon in

filters which occur under various conditions with age.

Introduction:

For years, the effect of activated carbon (high surface area) on volatile substances has been well documented. Activated carbon has been used in certain cigarette filters for its "filtering" effect of smoke "gas phase". However, little is known in the correlation between this "absorption" effect in the cigarette before use and the after effect in the subjectives

during use. This project is an attempt to identify that correlation.

Strategy:

Environmental testing with subjective and analytical tracing to determine

the effects of subjectives and flavor at adverse conditions

Tactics

Timetables

Initiate environmental testing

November, 1991

Interim report for the Desert, Jungle, Coldroom and Ambient conditions over

the six weeks of testing and

subjective

February, 1992

Complete the six month testing for

analytical and subjective testing

May, 1992

Completion report and recommen-

dations

June, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology subjectives

Component Analyses on Filler

Cigarette Technology

Flavor Analyses

M. Garrett - 0.02 man-years

K. Deane - 0.02 man-years

V. Graff-Muse and B. Tierney

0.003 man-years

CTSD L. Chambers - 0.01

B. Hale - 0.01

B. Handy - 0.005 Rainey 0.005

B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

2021554985

Factory Logistics
ARD

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

Objective:

To assist Technical Services personnel in making recommendations for corrective actions to keep all current brands within specified delivery targets.

Explanatory Introduction:

Primarily due to fluctuations in tobacco blend availability, minor changes in the specifications of certain products have to be made to maintain delivery (tar, menthol) targets. R&D assists Technical Services in choosing the most appropriate changes.

<u>Strategy I:</u> - Recommend Change

Tactic

Evaluate current data Evaluate past data for trends Recommend change or corrective action

Strategy II: - Teach and Train

Tactic

Explain consequences of:

- a.
- Corrective action if spec. change not required. Specification change and reason for particular choice. b.

Resource Allocation:

Warren Claflin Morris White Debbie Atkinson Kelli Poindexter Technical Advisor Domestic Product Development Domestic Product Development International Product Development

2021554991

Flavor Technology

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

FLAVOR TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

NAME: Cox/Kroustalis

Project Grain

Objective:

Reduce the use of alcohol and humectants through reformulation

Introduction:

Several strategies have been developed to reduce the alcohol and humectants which are present in our casings and aftercuts. The reductions

will be accomplished in a step-wise manner.

Contingencies are also being explored to remove all of the added alcohol and partially reduce the humectants should this scenario become necessary.

The benefits of the reduced alcohol and humectants would be lower emissions and lower costs.

The liability of lower humectants could be increased filler degradation.

Strategy I:

Incremental reducion of alcohol concentration in burley top casing.

Tactics

Timetable

Support implementation of alcohol reduction in BTC on a Factory-by-

Factory Basis

As Requested

Strategy II:

Reduce alcohol in aftercut, combine with top casing reduction.

Tactics

Timetable

100% BTC alcohol reduced plus 30% alcohol reduced (52% total alcohol)

in Marlboro POL 03005

Complete

100% BTC alcohol reduced plus 30% aftercut alcohol reduced humectant rearranged (total alcohol 52%) in

Marlboro POL 03015.

March, 1992

Factory Trials, further testing

June, 1992

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

Support Implementation

As Required

Strategy III:

Reduce/rearrange PG in flavor system combine with BTC and AC alcohol

reduction

Tactics

Timetable

100% BTC alcohol reduced + 30% A/C alcohol reduced plus 25% PG reduced flavor system (52% total alcohol,

25% total PG) - POL 03006

Complete

Additional POL's

June, 1992

Factory Trials

Dec, 1992

Support Implementation

As Required

100% BTC alcohol reduced + 50% A/C reduced plus 25% PG reduced flavor

system (67% total alcohol, 25% PG)

Complete

POL's

September, 1992

Factory Trials

June, 1993

Support Implementation

As Required

Strategy IV:

Reduce alcohol in menthol aftercuts using PG rearrangements; combine with

top casing reductions (H. Maxwell).

Tactics

Timetable

B&H Menthol Lights 30%, 50% alcohol reductions in AC made in Semi-Works

for internal subjectives

March, 1992

B&H Menthol Lights 30%, 50% alcohol reductions in AC plus BTC alcohol reduction planned Semi-Works, internal June, 1992

subjectives

POL testing

September, 1992

Factory trials with reformulated

flavors

As Required

Strategy V:

Remove 100% added alcohol in aftercuts non-menthol and menthol using PG rearrangement/Sonolator; combine BTC alcohol reduction.

Tactics

Timetable

1. 100% BTC alcohol reduction, plus 100% A/C alcohol reduction in

Marlboro

Complete

Further non-menthol models to be made in Semiworks for potential

POL's

May, 1992

2. 100% alcohol reduced A/C B&H Menthol Lights Semi-Works small

scale,

Complete

Further menthol models to be made in Semiworks for potential

POL's

June, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology Flavor Technology Semiworks PED CTSD

Cigarette Technology

S. Ruziak - 0.60 man years

H. Maxwell - 0.20 man years

G. Romig/J. Warren

A. Smith J. Lightner

B. Peace

2021554994

2021554995

Stable Menthol Program 1992 Operational Plan

Objective:

Develop new menthol technology to produce consistent menthol delivery in smoke under normal field conditions.

Introduction:

Menthol migrates from rod to filter resulting in decreased menthol deliveries in smoke over time. Stable menthol technology would result in products with consistent puff and constant menthol delivery. Program benefits include: decrease in customer complaints, decrease in menthol loss during application, decrease in variation of menthol delivery and potential proprietary technology providing a competitive advantage. Downsides include: cost increase, potential application problems and equipment contamination.

Strategy #1:

Determine viability of menthol encapsulation via the M-CAP Technologies International process.

Tactics:

Approval has been given to M-CAP's proposal for menthol encapsulation. Initial feasibility experiments will be conducted by M-CAP using four shell materials acceptable for use in PM products. 3/92.

Process Development (W. Nichols) will evaluate plain beads for determination of physical properties, i.e., flowability. 3/92.

Assuming that successful menthol encapsulation is achieved, Flavor Technology (H. Maxwell) will evaluate the potential for spray application of encapsulated menthol onto filler. Process Development will evaluate alternate applications of encapsulated menthol. 4/92.

Machine-made cigarettes will be produced in the Semi-Works for in-house (FTD) subjective evaluations, smoke menthol delivery (CTSD), accelerated aging studies (FTD) and cost analysis. 5/92.

A comprehensive proposal will be prepared based on subjective and cost considerations. 6/92.

Strategy #2:

Investigate the feasibility of yeast encapsulation.

Tactics:

Chemical Research (Y. Houminer) will conduct in-house feasibility studies Washed brewer's yeast will be obtained from for yeast encapsulation. Miller Brewing for the initial investigations. 3/92

Yeast encapsulated menthol will be sprayed on tobacco for preparation of machine-made cigarettes in the Semi-Works. The cigarettes will be evaluated for subjectives, menthol delivery and stability studies. 4/92

A comprehensive proposal will be prepared based on cost and subjective considerations. 6/92

Strategy #3:

Investigate the feasibility of in situ alginate crosslinking and menthol encapsulation via the Cast Leaf system and/or extrusion. 3/92

Tactics:

Process Development (J. Washington) will investigate whether available calcium from tobacco can be used as the alginate crosslinking agent for menthol encapsulation in a modified Cast Leaf process. 3/92

Process Development (W. Nichols) will investigate whether co-extruded tobacco/alginate/menthol can utilize calcium from tobacco for alginate crosslinking/encapsulation. 3/92

Flavor Tehnology (H. Maxwell) and Cigarette Technology (B. Hendricks) will prepare cigarettes in the Semi-Works for subjective and stability evaluations. 3/92

Strategy #4:

Investigate menthol on dope for delivery stability.

Tactics:

Cigarette Technology (G. Patron) will prepare cigarette filters with menthol on dope tow from Eastman for stability evaluations. 4/92

Flavor Technology will perform subjective evaluations of fresh and aged cigarettes to determine stability. 5/92

Results of this investigation and recommendations will be issued by the end of June, 1992.

Strategy #5:

Investigate the feasibility of cigarette mentholation via PVA and PZ

addition.

Tactics:

G. Patron will review past investigations for this approach prior to model design. 3/92

Marlboro FF and Lights models will be designed by Patron and produced in the Semi-Works. 4/92

Subjective and accelerated aging evaluations will be performed prior to issuing a recommendation based on findings. 6/92

Strategy #6:

Evaluate feasibility of filler mentholation via liquid CO₂.

Tactics:

Lab scale filler mentholation via liquid CO₂ will be conducted to produce sufficient quantities for machine-made cigarettes. 3/92

Cigarettes will be produced in the Semi-Works for smoke menthol delivery, subjective evaluation and stability determinations. 3/92

Resource Allocations (Man-Years):

Program Leader	0.5
Flavor Technology	0.7
Chemical Research	0.5
Process Development	1.3
Cigarette Technology	0.8
Semi-Works	0.3
Cigarette Testing	0.3
Analytical Research	0.1
Total	4.5

Alternate Humectants

Objective:

Develop and evaluate alternate humectants replacing propylene glycol and

glycerin in PM brands

Introduction:

Sheet products (RL's and RCB) were made at the Processing Plants with

isosweet replacing the humectants. Casings were made with partial

isosweet replacement of humectants and casing preblend flavors moved to

the A/C.

The benefits of replacing humectants is a defensive strategy which would

also lower propylene glycol emissions.

The possible liabilities are degradation during processing, product

stability and lower product yield.

Strategy:

RL's and RCB with alternate humectant were incorporated in Marlboro blend

with alternate humectant in the flavor system

Tactics

Timetable

Semiwork trial

Completed

Alternate Humectant POL

June, 1992

Replicate POL, if needed

October, 1992

Recommendations (Potential defensive

strategy)

December, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology Flavor Technology Applied Research Cigarette Technology Semi-Works

CTSD PED

S. Ruziak - 0.05 man years J. Swain - 0.01 man years

B. McCuen

D. Rockwell G. Romig/J. Warren

J. Lightner

A. Smith

Reduced Humectants

Objective:

Unify the humectant levels in domestic and export (lower humectant level)

RL's and RCB

Introduction:

Sheet products (RL's and RCB) were made at the Processing Plants with reduced humectant levels, target solubles (46-47%) and increased solubles (50%). After evaluation, the target soluble sheets were incorporated into the Marlboro blend and POL quantities were made.

The benefits of the reduction of humectants would be lower emissions and

cost savings

Strategy:

RL's and RCB with reduced humectant levels were produced in production for

physical and subjective testing.

Tactics

Timetable

Reduced humectant sheet, target solubles (46-47%) incorporated into

Marlboro blend, POL 0385

Complete

Factory trials planned to establish

primary conditions

April, 1992

Recommendation of changes in primary

specifications

June, 1992

Assist in implementation, when

requested

Resources:

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology

J. Swain - 0.01 man years

Process Development

Cigarette Technology

R. Uhl

B. Peace

Cigarette Technology B. Peace
Operations Services B. Rainey

Semi-Works G. Romig/J. Warren

CTSD J. Lightner

Liquid Licorice

Objective:

Implement the use of a liquid licorice to replace the existing block licorice in PM formulae which meets Philip Morris requirements of cost effectiveness and product consistency (specifications). The liquid licorice must be subjectively equal to the existing block licorice in PM finished products.

Introduction:

Licorice is used in approximately 90% of the Philip Morris production volume and costs approximately \$20 million annually. R&D and Operations Services have attempted to find alternatives to the block licorice for at least ten years. Handling the product is labor intensive and preparing the product for application is energy intensive (heat requirements) and logistic intensive (lead time for melt). None of the following efforts have been successful in totally replacing Ship Brand licorice extract:

Various liquid licorice.

Developed licorice replacements (1974).

Qualifying the current spray-dried licorice (Police) in domestic production.

It is believed that a newly-offered liquid licorice by MacAndrews & Forbes (Mafco) will resolve most associated problems with block licorice.

Strategy #1:

Develop an analytical and subjective database.

Tactics

Timetables

Obtain samples of each trail batch of
Liquid Licorice 15 that is produced at
MacAndrews & Forbes for analytical and
subjective analyses

On going

Develop new casing using the liquid

licorice Complete

Internal Testing of the new casing

on Marlboro Complete

Strategy #2:

Implement cost analysis for all domestic production locations and research the pricing of the product by the vendor.

Tactics

Timetables

Assess manpower requirements, storage requirements, re-tooling requirements, all associated costs and potential

savings

February, 1992

Cost analysis by Manufacturing

Engineering, Purchasing, and Technical

Services

February, 1992

Overview of cost analysis/potential

cost reduction

March, 1992

Assess best back-up system to the

liquid licorice

May, 1992

Strategy #3:

Develop operating specifications for liquid licorice and Ship BJ SDLE.

Tactics

Timetables

Review database from component

analyses

March, 1992

Compare our database with vendor

data

April, 1992

Define product specification

August, 1992

Strategy #4:

Implement arrangements for consumer tests.

Tactics

Timetables

Internal testing

February, 1992

POL testing

March 23, 1992

POL testing

May 23, 1992

Recommendation

July, 1992

Casing adjustment, if needed

August, 1992

Repeat testing, if needed

October, 1992

Recommendation

December, 1992

Strategy #5:

Determine the feasibility of replacing current spray-dried licorice extract with Ship BJ SDLE in export blended strip operations and export dry flavors, and replacing block licorice with liquid licorice in export blended strip operations.

Status: Currently, export strip operations uses both block and spraydried licorice depending on the country destination and export dry flavors use the spray-dried licorice.

<u>Tactics</u>	<u>Timetables</u>
Produce export blended strips at 20th Street using liquid licorice.	April, 1992
Produce cigarettes from export strip and export dry flavor	May, 1992
Internal panel testing	June, 1992
Recommendations	July, 1992
Casing adjustment, if needed	August, 1992
Internal panel testing	October, 1992
External testing, if needed	November, 1992
Recommendation	December, 1992

Resources:

Semiworks

Cigarette Technology

Consumer Testing

Internal Subjectives

Flavor Technology

CTSD

ARD

Flavor Analyses

Purchasing

Technical Services

G. Romig/J. Warren - 1.1 man-years

D. Rockwell - 0.01 man-years

M. Jeltema - 0.02 man-years

K. Deane - 0.03 man years

M. Garrett - 0.15 man-years

L. Chambers - 0.02 man-years

B. Handy - 0.02 man-years

B. Hale - 0.02 man-years

C. Comes - 0.01 man-years

E. Tucker - 0.01 man-years

Marlboro RI

Objective:

Develop a Marlboro Flavor System containing fewer than 40 listed components which support the subjective character in Marlboro cigarettes.

Introduction:

U.S. Congress has attempted to pass legislation requiring tobacco manufacturers to label their products with ingredient information and to have Congress-appointed labs to perform certain tests on the disclosed ingredients.

This project is an effort to reduce the ingredient list for Marlboro without changing its subjective character. Current developed casing, aftercut, and blends lists 28 components including tobacco. A new recon (RLL) was developed to replace the current standard recons. Over forty models (16 mg, 13 mg and 12 mg inclusive) were internally evaluated during development in 1990 and 1991.

Strategy #1:

Develop new reduced-ingredient flavor system and subjective evaluation of the blend modifications.

Tactics	<u>Timetables</u>
Development of new casing and after- cut systems	On going
Evaluate most recent blend models	January, 1992
Request new blend components	January, 1992
Produce new RLL at C Pilot Plant	March, 1992
Produce new ET with sucrose at D Pilot Plant	March, 1992
Chemical and physical analyses	April, 1992
Evaluation of new components (expanded and recons)	May, 1992

2021555004

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

Evaluate Net expanded materials

June, 1992

Recommendation of blend components July, 1992

Production of new blend

August, 1992

Evaluation of new blend

September, 1992

Panel testing

October, 1992

Strategy #2:

Develop best flavor system with reduced ingredients.

Tactics

<u>Timetables</u>

Evaluation of flavor components

April, 1992

Optimize flavor levels, examine

modification to the burley spray, and

optimize casing and flavor levels

May, 1992

POL Testing

October, 1992

Continue assessment, make modification

where necessary

As needed

Recommendations

December, 1992

Factory trials

As needed

Resources:

Pilot RL's/C Pilot Pilot ET/D Pilot

Cigarette Technology

Semiworks **PED**

Internal subjectives Flavor Technology

CTSD ARD

L. Wilkinson - 0.02 man-years

R. Lum - 0.01 man-years

0.01 man-years

G. Romig/J. Warren 0.02 man-years

M. Jeltema - 0.01 man-years K. Deane - 0.02 man-years

M. Garrett - 0.10 man-years

J. Lightner - 0.01 man-years

B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

and handling logistics.

Find alternative to using natural honey bright casing due to supply issues

Wish List:

DISCOUNT RI

Objective:

Develop a Discount Flavor System which is cost effective and has acceptable flavor characteristics on a newly-developed, cost effective blend and cigarette design.

Introduction:

Continuous growth of discount brands raises concerns over profit margins - discount brands sold at discount costs must be produced at discount costs -- with positive subjective response from the consumer.

Development work is required to explore the different possibilities for Product development. Results from Marlboro RI will impact heavily on this development. Bristol will be a starting reference since it contains an estimated 60 components.

Strategy:

Development of cost effective blends, casings and flavor systems.

<u>Tactics</u>	Timetables
Initiate discussions with Leaf Department regarding blend development	February, 1992
Make models for subjective and analytical testing	April, 1992
Casing and aftercut development	June, 1992
Filter and Paper Development	June, 1992
Subjective evaluation	July, 1992
Flavor modification	August, 1992
Phase two testing	September, 1992
Internal Testing	November, 1992

021555007

Source: https://www.industrydocuments.ucsf.edu/docs/fyhm0000

Recommendation

December, 1992

Resources:

Flavor Technology
Cigarette Development
Blend Development
Filter Technology
Paper Technology

Flavor Technology Subjectives

Internal Testing

CTSD Semiworks Flavor Analyses

Component Analyses on Filler
Factory Logistics/Specifications

Pricing/Product Purchase/Purchasing

M. Garrett - 0.15 man-years

0.02 man-years

B. Riggins - 0.01 man-years

K. Newman - 0.01 man-years

S. Baldwin - 0.01 man-years

K. Deane - 0.01 man-years

M. Jeltema - 0.01 man-years

J. Lightner - 0.01 man-years

G. Romig and J. Warren 0.01

B. Hale - 0.02 man-years

B. Handy - 0.01 man-years

Tucker/Rainey - 0.01 man-years

C. Comes - 0.01 man-years

Flavor System Simplification/Revisions 1992 Operational Plan

Objectives:

To eliminate unwanted ingredients from the PM direct materials to comply with worldwide legal requirements.

To reduce ingredients and simplify sources of materials.

The project consists of a continuous evaluation of ingredients by Regulatory with a yearly review of completed products.

Introduction:

The project involves the work by Flavor Technology, Regulatory, Technical Services, Purchasing and the Flavor Center in an effort to maintain and control the quantity and quality of Philip Morris direct materials used in products.

Strategy #1:

To subjectively evaluate revisions and first shipment samples from suppliers where ingredients have been removed and determine acceptability.

Revisions received from Regulatory are investigated and evaluated as the need arises. This program takes high priority with the appropriate functional groups interacting when ingredients need to be removed from suppliers flavors. The requested revisions for 1991 have been completed. There are no outstanding revisions for 1992.

Tactics:

Regulatory:

Monitor regulatory requirements worldwide and oversee removal of unwanted ingredients.

Determine which direct material should be revised.

Request revisions from suppliers for existing direct material codes.

Distribute revisions samples to analytical group for analysis. If product is clean, send samples to Flavor Technology for subjective evaluation.

Flavor Center:

Test incoming first production materials to ensure conformity to material specifications and free from removed components.

Obtain sample for Flavor Technology subjective testing.

Flavor Technology:

Perform subjective testing on revisions and first shipment samples evaluating both the aromatic profile of the samples as well as subjective smoking characteristics and differences between control and revised samples.

Report results to Purchasing, Technical Services, Flavor Center and Regulatory.

Purchasing:

Assign new direct material codes as revision samples are received by Regulatory.

Maintain revision information.

Monitor inventories to minimize inventories of materials currently being revised.

Inform vendors when orders are based on 60 day production schedule and status of "old " material.

Follow up with vendors to track problems and expedite shipments.

Technical Services:

Modify formulas as approved revised materials are received.

Monitor preblend inventories to assure adequate inventories of materials.

Notify Purchasing of upcoming requirements for new flavors and or changes in requirements for existing flavors.

Timetable:

Evaluated on a yearly basis to be completed by year end with quarterly

review.

Strategy #2:

To subjectively evaluate and locate possible alternate vendors of current direct material products as requested by Purchasing and Technical Services. Alternate suppliers are investigated on a as needed basis as a result of poor quality with some existing suppliers. Requests are received by Flavor Technology from Technical Services as the need arises.

Tactics:

Flavor Technology:

Evaluate subjectively and analytically new possible sources of alternate flavor materials against control samples to maintain comparable subjectives and specifications.

Technical Services:

To supply formulations and samples of control products and alternate suppliers to Flavor Technology.

Purchasing:

To aid in determining the need for alternate suppliers of PM products.

Timetable:

This is reviewed on a quarterly basis.

Strategy #3:

To facilitate the removal of direct material components requested by Regulatory in an effort to reduce the number of Philip Morris sole source ingredients and the removal of unwanted flavors.

For 1992 a preliminary list of potential direct material drops has been received from Regulatory. This list represents PM sole source flavors that are at a low usage level. Efforts will be made to eliminate these if subjectively possible without changing existing flavor profiles. The list contains 14 flavor items.

Tactics:

Regulatory:

Monitor regulatory requirements worldwide and oversee the removal of unwanted ingredients from flavors and the removal of flavor systems.

Determine which direct material should be removed.

Flavor Technology:

Determine subjectively the best method to remove the flavor by evaluating its use in flavor concentrates and aftercuts. Methods involve either total elimination, finding alternate flavors or creating new flavor systems to mimic its effect.

Supply Technical Services with the new flavor concentrate or aftercut formulation.

Conduct subjective testing with both aromatic evaluations and cigarette flavor aromatics.

Technical Services:

Modify formulas as approved revised formulas are received.

Timetable:

Quarterly review of products removed to complete the task on a yearly basis.

Strategy #4:

To aid the Flavor Center in subjective evaluations of quality and flavor related issues.

We presently receive approximately four requests a week to evaluate both incoming flavors as well as compounded flavor concentrates. These are evaluated subjectively and also analytically when needed. Samples are received from Technical Services when a problem arises.

Tactics:

Flavor Technology:

Subjectively evaluate quality and flavor related issues submitted by Technical Services.

Report findings and disposition to Technical Services.

Technical Services:

Supply Flavor Technology with information and samples of problem flavors.

Timetable:

Evaluated as received in a timely fashion. Quarterly review of completed

products.

Strategy #5:

To simplify flavor formulations as needed by reducing the number of direct

material additions without subjectively altering the existing flavor.

Initiate a program to better manage and control PM direct materials,

suppliers and quality of flavor concentrates.

Tactics:

Flavor Technology:

Subjectively evaluate reduced ingredient formulations against control

formulations from both an aromatic profile and subjective smoking

characteristics.

Timetable:

Formulate as received in a timely fashion. Quarterly review of completed

products.

Resource Allocations (Man-Years):

Flavor Technology: 0.60

Technical Services: 0.80

Regulatory: 0.60

Flavor Center: 0.05

Purchasing: 0.10

Total 2.15

FLAVOR CENTER

Objective:

To subjectively evaluate materials from the Flavor Center which show

borderline analytical results.

Introduction:

Approximately three years ago, specifications were set for raw materials, preblends, and finished flavors. Incoming materials are then checked analytically for compliance. When analyses are suspect/borderline, FTD personnel are requested through Operations Services to verify analyses and/or subjectively evaluate samples. These additional determinations of quality have been beneficial in maintaining specifications and resolving "problem batches" of flavors.

Strategy:

Analytically and/or subjectively evaluate suspect materials submitted

through Operations Services from the Flavor Center.

Tactics

Timetable

Test for analytical verification.

As requested

Subjectively evaluate odor, taste

and/or applied to target product.

As requested

Resources:

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology

B. Taylor - 0.01 man years

B. Hoskin - 0.01 man years

Flavor Technology

J. Pfluerger

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology

Flavor Technology

B. Hale

Flavor Center

J. Beasley

Flavor Center

S. Capocelli

Operational Plan 1992 - Menthol Program Project Levo

I. Objective: To develop a menthol product to decrease PM's dependence on natural

menthol through the use of synthetic menthol.

A. Introduction: Project Levo was designed as a cost reduction program for Philip

Morris for our menthol market. In pursuing this goal, flavor systems will be developed using synthetic menthol in addition to menthol

isomers and analogous compounds.

II. Strategies:

- A. POL has been made and released to establish a baseline for further testing.
- B. Flavor Technology work continues to evaluate new prototypes made with additives.
- C. Additional testing (POL) will be conducted externally.

III. <u>Timetables</u>:

- A. 1st Quarter Internal Testing
- B. 2nd Quarter External Testing
- C. 3rd Quarter Make Recommendations

IV. Resource Allocations:

- A. Flavor Technology
- B. Cigarette Testing

2021555016

Natural/Synthetic Glycerin/Triacetin 1992 Operational Plan

Objectives:

- 1. To determine by sensory and analytical methods the acceptance specification of natural glycerin, by the 4th quarter, 1992.
- 2. To qualify natural glycerin-based triacetin as the cigarette filter plasticizer by 3rd quarter, 1992.
- 3. To identify the impurities present in natural glycerin and natural glycerin-based triacetin which may impart off flavors in cigarettes by the 4th quarter.

Introduction:

Glycerin is used as a cigarette filler plasticizer and is incorporated in casings and aftercuts. In order to minimize rejections of glycerin received by Philip Morris, analytical and sensory testing will be performed for glycerin derived from natural sources.

In addition, natural glycerin-based triacetin, a cigarette filter plasticizer, will be evaluated and compared to synthetic glycerin-based triacetin for qualification to address Philip Morris' needs as a result of partnering with Hoechst-Celanese.

In order to secure a continuous supply and in anticipation of a shift from synthetic to all-natural based glycerin and triacetin, it is vital for us to have alternate suppliers. The quality of these all-natural based glycerin/triacetin must meet our stringent sensory and analytical requirments.

Strategy #1:

Subjective evaluation of glycerin and triacetin.

Tactics:

A. Cigarettes containing natural and synthetic glycerin from all proposed vendors will be prepared and evaluated subjectively to determine subjective threshold limits for accept/reject criteria.

March, 1992

B. Cigarettes containing natural and synthetic glycerin-based triacetin from all proposed vendors will be prepared and will be subjectively evaluated

to determine threshold limits for qualification and accept/reject criteria of natural glycerin-based triacetin.

June, 1992

Strategy #2:

Analytical characterization of glycerin and triacetin contaminants.

Tactics:

Although most of the impurities have been tentatively identified, additional identifications and confirmations will be required to complete this investigation. Identifications will be made using a variety of instrumentation including GC, GC/MS, GC/FTIR and FTIR. Reference standards will also be purchased for confirmations.

September, 1992

Strategy #3:

Analytical/Sensory correlations and guidelines.

Tactics:

- A. Several production batches of natural glycerin/triacetin will be analyzed to determine batch to batch variations.
- B. Analytical results will be correlated to sensory results to determine whether analytical information can be used for accept/reject purposes.
- C. POL testing of natural glycerin-based triacetin to confirm internal subjective findings.
- D. Make recommendations for best evaluation approach.

December, 1992

Resource Allocations (Man-Years):

B. Johnson - Purchasing	0.02
K. Lam - Flavor Technology	0.15
R. Hale - Flavor Technology	0.15
A. Finley - Filter Technology	0.01
T. Hoskin - Semi-Works	0.02
V. Willis/K. Deane - FTD	0.15

Total 0.50

UNCOOKED FLAVOR SYSTEM (75-814 REPLACEMENT)

Objective: To replace cooked flavor for RLTC due to possible regulatory changes.

Introduction: The definition/safety of reaction flavors are being questioned in some

Foreign countries. As a precautionary measure, an alternate will be

developed for Cooked Flavor 75-814.

Strategy: Develop, evaluate, and test alternate flavor in RLTC.

<u>Tactics</u> <u>Timetable</u>

Initiate flavor modifications. March, 1992

Subjective evaluation of flavors

applied lab-scale. June, 1992

Subjective evaluation of flavors

in RL Pilot trials. July, 1992

Modifications, if necessary prior to

Park 500 trials. September, 1992

Park 500 trials of alternate flavor

for POL test. December, 1992

Complete POL tests of substitutions as contingency to respond to potential

regulatory changes. March, 1993

Resources:

Flavor Technology B. Taylor -0.05 man years Flavor Technology J. Swain -0.05 man years

Cigarette Technology B. Peace Process Development R. Uhl

Semiworks G. Romig/J. Warren

CTSD J. Lightner
ARD C. Ament
Park 500 J. Whitman

GIOCCCIZOZ

Burley Spray 1992 Operational Plan

Objective:

Develop Burley Spray specifications for factory primaries by September

1992.

Introduction:

Current Burley Spray specifications deal with formulation and holding/application temperatures of 180°F for up to 72 hours. Flavor Technology has been using sucrose level in Burley Spray as an indicator of quality for POL testing. However, since there has not been extensive testing in the past, Burley Spray holding and application temperatures merit investigation as a function of subjective quality

and stability to develop specifications for this casing material.

Strategy #1:

Evaluate subjectively Burley Spray containing sucrose vs. fructose and

glucose.

Tactics:

Prepare current formulation Burley Spray and hold until sucrose

inversion reaches 50%.

Prepare fresh current formulation Burley Spray.

Prepare fresh Burley Spray with fructose and glucose (1:1) replacing

sucrose.

Prepare cigarettes (100% burley, Marlboro and Merit) with the above

Burley Sprays in the Semi-Works.

Subjectively evaluate cigarettes with "fresh" vs. "aged" and "fresh" and/or "aged" Burley Spray containing sucrose vs. Burley Spray

containing fructose/glucose.

Timetable:

March, 1992

Strategy #2:

Evaluate Burley Spray processing modifications.

Tactics:

Prepare current formulation Burley Spray and hold at 160°F for 72

hours. Analyze twice daily for sucrose content and inversion.

Prepare current formulation Burley Spray and hold at 160°F for 3 hours.

Prepare current formulation Burley Spray and hold at 180°F for 3 hours.

Make cigarettes in the Semi-Works (100% burley and Marlboro) for subjective evaluations/comparisons: 160° vs. 180°F@ 3 hours and 160° vs. 180°F@ 72 hours.

Conduct microbial activity testing of Burley Spray (BCR) at various temperatures vs. time. The temperatures and times should bracket the proposed conditions.

Timetable:

June, 1992

Resource Allocations:

Flavor Technology - Spruill	0.30
Flavor Technology - Panel	0.10
Tech. Services - Rainey	0.10
Cigarette Testing	0.10
Semi-Works	0.10
Biochemical Research	0.05
Marlboro Std. Panel	0.10
Total	0.85

DOMESTIC PRODUCT SUPPORT LICORICE REPLACEMENT 1992 OPERATIONAL PLAN FEBRUARY 10, 1992

Objective:

Investigate the development of a non-Licorice based Licorice substitute for use in all existing and new brands.

Introduction:

Philip Morris USA's annual expenditure on Licorice is in excess of 20 million dollars per year, virtually all with MAFCO. If this program is successful it will offer PM the flexibility to alter our buying patterns as well as a significant cost savings.

Strategy 1:

Conduct and review literature search of external sources and of previous substitute work conducted at PM.

Complete: 2nd Quarter, 1992

Strategy 2:

Analytical investigation of components.

Tactics:

Preparative LC fractionation. Completion Date: June, 1992

Subjective evaluation of individual components.

Completion Date:

August, 1992

HPLC fractionation to individual components.

Completion Date: November, 1992

Characterization of individual components.

Completion Date: December, 1992

Strategy 3:

Determine feasibility of program.

Decision based on: Cost/benefit, feasibility, and potential downsides.

Go, No-go decision: September, 1992

If decision is made to proceed with program than a more detailed operational plan, based on initial evaluations will be written.

Resource Allocation:

Flavor Technology	S. Skalak, N. Jackson:	0.40 man years
Library		0.02 man-years
Semiworks	G. Romig/J. Warren:	0.08 man-years
Consumer Testing	M. Jeltema	0.02 man-years
Internal Subjectives	K. Deane	0.03 man-years
CTSD	J. Lightner	0.02 man-years
Flavor Analyses	B. Demian	0.25 man-years
Cigarette Technology		0.01 man-years
ARD	B. Handy	0.02 man-years

PHILIP MORRIS PRODUCTS INC INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Richmond, Virginia

To:

Don Leyden

Date: February 20, 1992

From:

R. S. Slagle

Subject:

OPERATIONAL PLANS

Attached, please find the 1992 Operational Plans for International Product Development (Export Products).

RSS:da

Attachment

cc: A. H. Confer

R. P. Heretick

J. L. Myracle

H. L. Spielberg

Export Product Standardization

Objective:

To standardize tobacco filler OV specifications for export products by determining the need for the existence of tropical filler specifications (OV) for products exported to those regions designated tropical. A recommendation for the tropical filler specifications will be made 2nd Qtr., 1992.

Explanatory Introduction:

At the present time, tropical filler is used on 38 brands and is sent to 28 export formula destinations. The purpose of this experiment is to examine the effect of time and temperature on the physical and subjective integrity of the products selected for this study.

Strategy

Cigarettes made to tropical and non-tropical formulations were made in the factory, monitored through transport from Richmond to Singapore and analyzed in Singapore for physical and subjective changes. A similar test plan, with tropical and non-tropical formulations, is planned for the UAE; therefore, information will be available to evaluate how the test products reacted under both extreme climatic conditions.

Requirements	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
Singapore Spotting and Staining (QA)	March. 1992	Pillow, Graff,
and CI analyses for final phase of Singapore study	Match, 1992	Chambers, Tierney
UAE		
Cigarettes loaded onto vessel	February 6, 1992	Graff, Tierney, Maersk personnel
Arrival in UAE	March 11, 1992	Sadaoui, Tierney, Mobrem
Initial spotting and staining and downloading of hamster data and retrieval of samples for		
analysis in US	March 20, 1992	Sadaoui, Tierney, Mobrem

Requirements (cont'd.)	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
On-site spotting and staining analyses; retrieval of hamster data and units, shipment of samples to U.S. for spotting and staining (QA) and CI		
analyses.	April 30, 1992	Sadaoui, Tierney, Mobrem
Recommendation for Tropical		
Filler Specifications	2nd Qtr., 1992	Tierney, Graff

Product Launches for GCC

Objective: To develop new cigarette products for the GCC export market which will

contribute to our growth in this marketplace.

Explanatory Introduction:

Saudi Arabia has experienced an increased amount of oil workers from the Philippines. Philip Morris Menthol 100mm SP was developed to attract smokers from the Philippines who are familiar with this product presently manufactured in La Suerta. Merit Ultra Special KS FTB is being developed to respond to the growth of the low tar segment in the GCC and to compete with Barclay Ultra. Chesterfield KS FTB and Chesterfield Lights KS FTB are being developed to combat Lucky Strike and head off its potential growth in the GCC.

Strategy

To develop new cigarette products that meet EEMA's planned product

introductions. The following lists the planned introductions:

Market Introduction Date

EEMA

PM Menthol 100 SP February, 1992

Merit Ultra Special KS FTB September, 1992

Chesterfield KS FTB May, 1992

Chesterfield Lights KS FTB May, 1992

Tactics & Timetable:

Launch

Requirements	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
PM Menthol 100 SP		
Prototype Development Work	July, 1991	Tierney, Hoskin, Chambers
Factory Trial - Cabarrus	September, 1991	Sealey, Thompson
Factory Trial - Stockton Street	November, 1991	Tierney, Thompson
Production Start-up	December, 1991	Tierney, Jones
Market Introduction Date	February, 1992	EEMA
Merit Ultra Special KS FTB		
Prototype Development Work		
(Domestic Product Development)	1991-1992	Arterbery, Tierney
CPC	February, 1992	Greher, Stathapoulos

September, 1992

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
(cont'd.)		
Chesterfield KS FTB		
CPC Submission	February, 1992	Greher, Stathopoulos
Development Work	February, 1992	Tierney, Hoskin, Chambers
Factory Trial	March, 1992	Tierney
Production Start-up	March, 1992	Tierney
Market Launch	May, 1992	EEMA
Chesterfield Lights KS FTB		
CPC Submission	February, 1992	Greher, Stathopoulos
Prototype Development Work	February, 1992	Tierney, Hoskin
Factory Trial	March, 1992	Tierney
Production Start-up	March, 1992	Tierney
Market Launch	May, 1992	EEMA

Product Launches for Iran

Objective:

To develop new cigarette products for the Iran export market which will contribute to our growth in this marketplace. Product specifications will be modified in anticipation of printed T&N requirement. All merit products will reflect GCC T&N targets.

Explanatory Introduction:

The Merit Ultra Lights KS FTB and Merit 100mm SP are being launched to compliment the Merit Brand family (Merit Ultra Lights KS SP and Merit KS SP) originally launched second quarter 1991 in Iran. These two launches scheduled for February, 1992 will increase PM's presence and overall market share in this region.

Strategy

To develop new cigarette products that meet EEMA's planned product introductions. The following lists the planned introductions:

	Market Introduction Date
Merit Ultra Lights KS FTB	January, 1992
Merit 100mm SP	January, 1992
Tar/nicotine values printed	TBD*

Tactics & Timetable:

:

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
Merit Ultra Lights KS FTB		
Prototype Development Work	December, 1991	Tierney, Hoskin, Chambers
Factory Trial	December, 1991	Tierney, Thompson
Production Start-up	January, 1992	Tierney, Thompson
Market Introduction Date	February, 1992	EEMA
Merit 100mm Regular SP		
Prototype Development Work	December, 1991	Tierney, Hoskin, Chambers
Factory Trial	December, 1991	Tierney, Thompson
Production Start-up	December, 1991	Tierney, Thompson
Market Introduction	February, 1992	EEMA

Requirements	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
(cont'd.)		
Printed T/N Numbers Required on Pack		
Modify products to conform to printed		
pack numbers	January, 1992	Tierney, Haywood, Fuss
Production Start-up	TBD*	Tierney, Thompson
Market Introduction with new packaging	TBD*	EEMA

^{*} Pending regional requirement for printed T&N figures.

Product Launches for Israel

Objective:

To develop new cigarette products for export to Israel which will

contribute to our growth in the market.

Explanatory Introduction:

The conversion of Parliament LS to KS is keeping with the trend internationally to me box products from 80 to 83mm. The Parliament Lights 100 SP introduction is targeted to increase brand's competitiveness and rejuvenate the franchise. If the introduction of Parliament Lights 100 SP proves successful, the box version may be phased out since Israel is predominantly a soft pack market.

Strategy

To develop new cigarette products that meet EEC planned product

introductions. The following lists the planned introductions:

Parliament LS → KS FTB Conversion	Market Introduction Date February, 1992
Marlboro Lights 100 SP	March, 1992
Marlboro 100 SP	March, 1992
Parliament Lights 100 SP	March, 1992

Tactics and Timetable:

Requirements	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
Parliament LS - KS FTB Conversion		·
Specification Letter Written	January, 1992	Graff
Market Introduction	February, 1992	

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
(cont'd.)		
Mariboro Lights 100 Soft Pack		
CPC	August, 1991	
Specification Letter Written	September, 1991	Graff
Market Introduction	Project Frozen	
Mariboro 100 SP		•
Specification Letter Written	September, 1991	Graff
Market Introduction	Project Frozen	
Parliament Lights 100 SP		
CPC Approval	November, 1991	
Specification Written	January, 1992	Graff
Factory Trial	TBD	Graff, Thompson
Market Introduction	March, 1992	-

Product Launches for Lebanon

Objective:

To develop new cigarette products for the Lebanon "Domestic" export market

which will contribute to our growth in this marketplace.

Explanatory Introduction:

PM Filter Kings SP and FTB have been identified to combat Winston's growth. These brands will establish PM's presence in the high price segment and

increase PM's overall market share.

Strategy :

To develop new cigarette products that meet EEMA's planned product

introductions. The following lists the planned introductions:

Market Introduction Date

PM Filter Kings (Johnny Pack)

April, 1992

Tactics & Timetable:

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
PM Filter Kings (Johnny Pack)		
CPC Submission	January, 1992	Tierney, Stathopoulos, Greher
Prototype Development Work	January, 1992	Tierney, Hoskin, Chambers
Factory Trial	February, 1992	Tierney, Thompson
Production Start-up	February, 1992	Tierney, Thompson
Market Introduction	April, 1992	EEMA

Product Launches for Thailand

Objective:

To develop new cigarette products for export to Thailand which will

contribute to our growth in the market.

Explanatory Introduction:

The Marlboro KS FTB and Parliament 100 FTB are being launched to compliment the Marlboro and Parliament families. These two launches scheduled for 1992 will increase PM's presence and overall market share in this region.

Strategy

To develop new cigarette products that meet planned product introductions.

The following lists the planned introductions:

Market Introduction Date

Mariboro KS FTB

Parliament 100 FTB

April, 1992

November, 1992

Tactics and Timetable:

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
Mariboro KS FTB		
CPC Approved	October, 1991	
Write specification letter	January, 1992	Graff
Market Introduction	April, 1992	
Parliament 100 FTB		
Factory Trial	TBD	Graff, Thompson
Production Start-up	TBD	Graff, Thompson
CPC	March, 1992	-
Market Introduction	November, 1992	

L&M/Chesterfield Production Consolidation

Objective:

To standardize L&M/Chesterfield fillers used for export to various regions.

Explanatory Introduction:

Currently, there are ne separate cut filler specifications which may be reduced to three. This may result in approximately \$750,000 a year in cost savings. A recommendation for the possible consolidation will be made second quarter of 1992.

Strategy

Both the current L&M export and Chesterfield exports will be made, along with the existing Marlboro blend and Marlboro casing and L&M aftercut, Marlboro blend with Marlboro casing and Chesterfield aftercut and Benson & Hedges. Models will be subjectively evaluated by the Richmond Panel.

Tactics and Timetable:

Requirements	Timetable	Resources
Prototype Development Work	January, 1992	Graff, Hoskin, Chambers
Richmond Panel Evaluation	February, 1992	Graff, Heretick
Consolidation Recommendation	2nd Qtr., 1992	Graff, Confer, Heretick
Implementation	3rd Qtr., 1992	Graff Thompson

Parliament - Turkey

Objective:

To determine the control of dilution of Parliament 100 SP by pre-

perforating the filter rod and incorporating a pre-perforated tipping paper

during cigarette manufacture.

Explanatory Introduction:

An alternate method of achieving the desired dilution is to pre-perforate the filters and controlling the overall cigarette dilution with preperforated tipping paper.

Strategy

Samples will be manufactured, using the standard laser method of perforation in addition to pre-perforated filters and tipping papers to evaluate the effectiveness of pre-perforated filters.

Tactics and Timetables:

Requirements	<u>Timetable</u>	Resources
Preliminary Factory Trial	January, 1992	Graff, Thompson
Prototype Development	1st Qtr., 1992	Graff, Hoskin
Richmond Panel Evaluation	2nd Qtr., 1992	Graff, Heretick
Recommendation	2nd Qtr., 1992	Graff

New Product Launches for Hong Kong, Macau, Guam, Taiwan, and US Duty Free

Objective

To coordinate new product launches for the international export markets of Hong Kong, Guam, Taiwan, Macau, and US Duty Free which should continue to increase our market share in these regions.

Explanatory Introduction:

In Hong Kong in 1991, PM Products experienced better than 42% share of market. The introduction of these new brands and line extensions should insure an increase in market share in Hong Kong and other Eastern markets.

Strategy

The 1992 planned new product launches for Hong Kong, Guam, Taiwan, US Duty Free, and Macau have been outlined in the U.S. Export Product Plan. Some of these represent line extensions of existing products.

Specifications will be issued to accommodate the planned launch dates.

Tactics and Timetable:

The Mariboro Medium KS S/P for Hong Kong and Macau will be subjectively evaluated on the Hong Kong Consumer Panel in April. The B&H Deluxe Lights 100's FTB for Taiwan will be subjectively evaluated on PMI Panel vs. YSL. New product specifications will be written in advance to facilitate the introduction and launch of these product line extensions. The timetable for this is listed below:

<u>Country</u>	Brand	Spec. Due	Launch Date
Hong Kong/Macau	Mari. Med. KS S/P	Aug., 1992	Oct., 1992
Guam	Marl. Lights KS FTB	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	Va. Slims Super. 100 FTB	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	Va. Slims Super. Men. 100 FTB	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	B&H 100 Men. FTB	Feb., 1992	April, 1992
Taiwan	Parl. KS FTB	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	Mari. 100's FTB	Feb., 1992	April, 1992
	B&H Del. Lts. 100's FTB	May, 1992	July, 1992
	Mari. KS FTB 10's	Aug., 1992	Oct., 1992
ere.	Marl. KS FTB (Duty Free)	Oct., 1992	Dec., 1992
U.S. Duty Free	Marl. Men. KS FTB	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	Marl. Lts. KS FTB 300 cm.	Jan., 1992	March, 1992
	Mari. Med. KS S/P	April, 1992	June, 1992
	Mari. Med. 100's FTB	April, 1992	June, 1992
	Parl. Del. 100's S/P	April, 1992	June, 1992
Resources:			
	Specifications	Easley	
	Cigarette Testing	Chambers	
	Flavor Development Panel	Parrish	
	Richmond Panel	Heretick	

Matthews

Consumer Panel

Virginia blended product (Project Hilda) for Taiwan

Objective

To assist in the design, development, consumer testing and launch of a

Virginia blended cigarette to be introduced in Taiwan.

Explanatory Introduction:

In the Taiwan market Virginia cigarettes make up 90% of the sales, whereas PM products only represent 6% of this total. This introduction of a Virginia product is an attempt to increase PM's share in the Virginia dominated market in Taiwan.

Strategy

Product development work will be conducted in PM Australia during the first quarter of 1992. Three PMI product tests will be performed during the second quarter of 1992 to determine consumer preferences of the Hilda prototype. The three tests planned are:

- a) Hilda w/white tip vs. Long Life Milds
- b) Hilda w/cork tip vs. State Express 555
- c) Hilda w/cork tip vs. Hilda w/white tip

Test cigarettes will be sent to Richmond for overtipping and shipping of final test product.

Tactics and Timetable: The following timetable will be followed:

Development of prototypes - PM Australia - Jan. '92

Shipment of prototypes & competitor's brands

to Richmond - Feb. '92

Ringtipping, shipment of final test product

to Taiwan - Mar. '92

Analytical & subjective evaluations

(Richmond Panel/Flavor Tech./CTS) - Mar. '92

Consumer testing in Taiwan - 2nd Qtr. '92

Analyzing results, final specifications,

production start-up in Australia - 3rd Qtr. '92

Brand launch in Taiwan - Oct. '92

Resources

Prototype Production PM Australia
Overtipping Semiworks
Analyticals Chambers
Flavor Development Panel Parrish
Richmond Panel Heretick

Merit KS for Hong Kong

Objective

To introduce Merit KS FTB in the Kong Kong market during the third

quarter of 1993.

Explanatory Introduction:

Kent represents more than 10% of sales in Hong Kong. A Merit KS product with a single digit tar delivery, that would appeal to Kent smokers, has been a development objective of PM Asia for several years.

Strategy

Conduct flavor work, blend work, and prototype production during 1992 to

anticipate this possible introduction for 1993.

Tactics and Timetable:

Development work should begin in the 2nd Qtr., 1992 with consumer panel evaluation during early 1993.

Prototype production for flavor development - I	- Apr. '92
Flavor development - Phase I	- June '92
Prototype production - Phase I	- July '92
Internal subjective/analytical evaluations	- Aug. '92
Prototype production for flavor development - II	- Sept.'92
Flavor development - Phase II	- Nov. '92
Prototype production - Phase II	- Dec. '92
Internal subjective/analytical evaluations	- Jan. '93
HKCP test recommendations	- Jan. '93
Possible HKCP test production/analytical/subjectives	- TBD

Resources

Prototype Production	Semiworks
Flavor development	Parrish
Analytical Evaluation	Chambers
Flavor development panel	Parrish
Consumer Panel	Matthews
Richmond Panel	Heretick
Specifications	Easley

Existing Product Support/Packaging Revisions

Objective : To develop packaging revisions to anticipate international consumer

trends and help provide a marketing advantage.

Strategy: The following is a listing of 1992 planned packaging revisions which have

been outlined in the US Export Product Plan for 1992-1994:

Country Taiwan Duty Free	Brand Parliament 100's FTB Graphics Change	<u>Launch Date</u> 2/15/92
Taiwan Domestic	Va. Slims Lights 100's FTB Menthol	7/15/92
Duty Free Sales USA	Va. Slims Lights 100's FTB	3/1/92
	Va. Slims Superslims 100's FTB	3/1/92
	Va. Slims Ultra Light 100's FTB	3/1/92
	Va. Slims 100's Menthol S/P	3/1/92
	Va. Slims 120's FTB	3/1/92
	Va. Slims 120's FTB Menthol	3/1/92
	Mariboro Lights KS FTB Jumbo Carton	3/1/92
	Va. Slims Lights 100's FTB Menthol	3/1/92
	Va. Slims Superslims 100's FTB Men.	3/1/92
	Va. Slims Ultra Lights 100's FTB Men.	3/1/92

Timetable: Packaging changes will be conducted in a manner in which obsolescence can be minimized and to accommodate the proposed launch dates.

Existing Product Support/Health Warning Requirement

Objective : To monitor the addition of the US Health warning notice to all export

packs that do not presently carry any other country's health warning.

Strategy: There are 184 export packings affected. A random rotation of the four

US warning notices will be used. Printed materials will be converted

as each item is used up to avoid as much obsolescence as possible.

Timetable: Preparations began in January to implement this program with target

phase-in beginning April 1, 1992 and with completion slated for the end

of 1992.

Product Technology/Consumer Research

Objective : Conduct PMI consumer tests for Taiwan to maintain and/or enhance the

subjective, analytical and physical performance of existing products in

the marketplace.

Strategy: Five PMI tests have been tentatively planned for Taiwan during 1992.

These tests are:

Brand	Fieldwork (tentative)
L&M FF Charcoal vs. non-charcoal	Feb. '92
L&M (11mg) Charcoal vs. non-charcoal	Feb. '92
VSLM vs. YSL Menthol	Feb. '92
Parl. KS vs. Mild Seven Light	March '92
Parl. KS vs. Marlboro Lights	March '92

Tactics and Timetable:

After Market Management has decided on tests and the schedules are in place, these tests will be produced and shipped to meet the appropriate fieldwork dates.

Product Technology/Mariboro Monitors

Objective : Conduct consumer tests in Hong Kong with Marlboro versus competitor's

cigarettes to monitor the quality and consumer preference of our brands.

Strategy: Two Marlboro tests are proposed for PMI testing in 1992. These tests

are:

Mariboro Red vs. Winston Red- Aug. '92 Mariboro Lights vs. Kent - Aug. '92

Tactics and Timetable:

These tests will be coordinated and shipped in time to meet the established fieldwork dates.

Lark Combining Wrap

Objective

Reduce ventilation variability and increase potential for higher mean ventilation by replacing current mechanically perforated combining wrap with an inherently porous wrap on Lark products. A combining wrap supplied by one vendor with these properties is to be specified by 1st quarter, 1992.

Explanatory Introduction:

Mechanically perforated combining wraps have two short-comings; the variation in product ventilation and an inability to achieve higher mean levels required in lower tar products. Inherently porous combining wraps positively address these areas of concern.

Strategy

Models from two vendors are under evaluation. Kimberly-Clark has two paper porosities with their "dot matrix" application and Ecusta has one paper with the diagonal hotmelt pattern. Each of these vendors has shown their product improves mean—ventilation and reduces ventilation variability. However, because the papers are unlike that currently used, challenges remain in successfully combining a filter and tipping a cigarette to the quality level of the control.

Tactics and Timetable:

Factory trial	January, 1992
Analytical smoking	January, 1992
Richmond Panel approval	February, 1992
QE evaluation of filters and cigarettes	February, 1992
2nd factory trial on recommended	February, 1992
refinements	
Vendor selection	March, 1992
Product specification w/porous combining wrap on Lark Super Lights	March, 1992
Expansion to all Lark products	June, 1992

Resources

R&D Export Product Development	R. Lambert
R&D Filter Development	D. Laslie/K. Newman
Manufacturing Services	C. Jackson/E. Weston
Quality Engineering	J. Calloway
R&D Semiworks	J. Warren

(cour'd.) • • Resources

Quality Assurance

Purchasing

R&D Cigarette Information

R&D Product Evaluation

R&D Flavor Technology

B. Johnson V. Bell

L. Chambers C. Matthews

K. Parrish

Carbon Consolidation - Coal Based

Objective

Eliminate iron and zinc impregnants in SCCW carbon which is used in Lark

plug space plug filter products by the 1st quarter, 1992.

Explanatory Introduction:

Due to the introduction of ventilation in our products, iron and zinc salt impregnation is no longer needed to reduce specific gas phase components in smoke. Elimination of these impregnants will also result in a projected annual cost savings of \$800,000. Impregnant removal will align this carbon for future consolidation of all carbon filter products to one specification (PM Specification coconut based carbon).

Strategy

Consumer testing in Japan and internal testing have shown no subjective difference between Lark products with or without the impregnants in the carbon. The major obstacle in qualifying the non impregnated carbon has been the observation of increased dust generation at the filter combiner. After making these concerns known to Calgon, adjustments have been made in their processing to remedy this dusting.

Tactics and Timetable:

Carbon analysis	April, 1990
Model production	April, 1990
Analytical smoking	April, 1990
Richmond Panel approval	April, 1990
Danchi Panel consumer testing	May & October, 1990
Short term trial (10 drums)	November, 1991
Long term trial (60 drums)	January, 1992
Extended trial (160 drums)	February, 1992
Product specification & implementation	March, 1992
Consumer testing of one carbon specification	December, 1992

Resources

R&D Export Product Development	R. Lambert
R&D Filter Development	A. Finley
Manufacturing Services	C. Jackson/J. Home
Quality Assurance	V. Bell
Purchasing	B. Johnson
R&D Cigarette Information	L. Chambers
R&D Product Evaluation	C. Matthews

Resources

(cont'd.)

Manufacturing
R&D Semiworks
R&D Flavor Technology

M. Brown/W. Roarke
J. Warren

K. Parrish

Carbon Consolidation - Coconut Based

Objective

Establish one PM specification for coconut based carbon by June, 1992.

Longer term, one specification is desired for all carbon filter

applications.

Explanatory Introduction:

:

Two different coconut carbon specifications currently exist for dual filter manufacturing. One of these specifications will be eliminated but vendor volume mix, currently in place, will be unaffected.

Strategy

The current specification for Pica RC 328 has been slightly modified, resulting in a PM specification, which is the desired coconut carbon for consolidation. Smaller volume brands which used Calgon MF2C (Lark Deluxe, Multifilter, Virginia Slims 100 for Japan) have been changed to the desired specification based upon internal subjective evaluation. Because of the significant volumes, Parliament 100 has undergone three Danchi panel evaluations of the PM specification carbon. POL testing of a Parliament 100 will take place during March-April, 1992.

Tactics and Timetable:

Carbon analysis

Analytical smoking

Cotober, 1991

Richmond Panel approval

October, 1991

Danchi Panel consumer testing November, 1991/February, 1992

POL consumer testing March-April, 1992

Product specification & implementation June, 1992

Resources

R&D Export Product Development R. Lambert
R&D Domestic Product Development D. Atkinson
R&D Filter Development Group A. Finley

Manufacturing Services C. Jackson/A. Utz
R&D Semiworks J. Warren

Quality Assurance M. S. Schreck
Purchasing B. Johnson
Manufacturing R. Sauls
R&D Product Evaluation C. Matthews

R&D Flavor Technology

R&D Cigarette Information

L. Chambers

Lark Family Tar Reduction

Objective

Position the Lark family of products so they will benefit from the

downward trend of tar level observed in the Japanese marketplace.

Explanatory Introduction:

Reduced tar Lark products are being developed and will be implemented in order to improve ratings and sales among mainstream Japanese smoker groups, while not alienating current Lark family smokers.

Strategy

The following is a listing of Lark family current and proposed tar

levels:

Lark FF KS	1514
Lark FF 100's	1514
Lark Milds KS	1110
Lark Milds 100's	1210
Lark Super Lights	876

This reduction program has been requested by PMKK and will be implemented as soon as possible, such that all products arriving in Japan in May, 1992 will be the reduced tar versions.

Tactics and Timetable:

Lark Super Lights 7mg	January, 1992
Factory trials	February, 1992
Analytical smoking	February, 1992
Subjective smoking	February, 1992
Specification issue and	February, 1992
implementation for Lark KS,	
Lark Milds 100, & Lark 100	
Specification issue for Lark	March, 1992
Milds and Lark Super Lights	
All products in port	May, 1992
All products in retail	July, 1992
DAD Forman David or Davidson	D. Lambara

Resources

R&D Export Product Development

Manufacturing Services

Quality Assurance

Quality Engineering

R. Lambert

K. Thompson

V. Bell/D. Taylor

J. Calloway

Manufacturing
R&D Cigarette Information
R&D Product Evaluation
R&D Flavor Technology

M. Brown/J. Glenn/K. Parrish L. Chambers

L. Chambers C. Marthews

K. Parrish

Lark Packaging

Objective

The Lark product line will undergo a graphics revision which is to be

completed by July, 1992.

Explanatory Introduction:

Along with proposed printed tar and nicotine changes, graphics for all Lark packaging will be revised.

Strategy

The graphics change will begin in April, 1992 and totally implemented by July, 1992. This effort will be managed in conjunction with the tar reduction program. Each of these changes is intended to improve Lark

sales in Japan which have recently been stagnant.

Tactics and Timetables:

Begin implementation	April, 1992
Graphics revision completed	July, 1992

Resources

R&D Export Product Development R. Lambert
Purchasing M. Pollio
Production Planning W. Isbell
Manufacturing Services R. Street

Mariboro LS to KS FTB Conversion

Objective

Existing Mariboro FF products in 80mm LS FTB are being converted to 83 mm KS FTB worldwide. All new to market introductions of this product line will be in the 83mmmKS FTB format. Specifications will be in effect for all regions (Asia and EEMA) by June, 1992.

Explanatory Introduction:

All Marlboro FF Box packagings will be in the 83 mm KS format. The 80mm FTB box will no longer be produced for the Asia or EEMA regions.

Strategy

Issue of specifications began in 1991 for the Asia region. Specifications will be issued for EEMA by June, 1992. In most cases, the product is the standard specification. Separate specifications are issued in instances of tar limitations or smoking methodologies other than FTC.

Tactics and Timetables:

Asia region specifications January, 1992 **EEMA** region June, 1992

Resources

R&D Export Product Development

Purchasing

Manufacturing Services Production Planning

R. Lambert

B. Bjorkholm

J. Ellis W. Isbell